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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE CLERK: And Chair Lightford. |  |
| 2 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Here. |  |
| 3 | THE CLERK: There are eight members present. | 0:00:56 |
| 4 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you. There are |  |
| 5 | eight members present. We do have a quorum |  |
| 6 | established. We will begin to conduct business. | 0:01:03 |
| 7 | Blue Room Stream seeks leaves of the body to |  |
| 8 | take photos and videos of the proceedings. Leave is |  |
| 9 | granted. Seeing no objection. | 0:01:12 |
| 10 | I'd like to again thank everyone for |  |
| 11 | participating in this critical process and thank you |  |
| 12 | all for joining us tonight as we continue our work to |  |
| 13 | establish school board boundaries that will provide |  |
| 14 | equitable representation for all of the City's diverse |  |
| 15 | populations. | 0:01:34 |
| 16 | Recent hearings have raised questions about a |  |
| 17 | number of provisions required under existing law, |  |
| 18 | including the hybrid period, in which some districts |  |
|  | will be represented by members who are elected and |  |
| 20 | others will be represented by members who are |  |
| 21 | appointed. | 0:01:53 |
| 22 | We've also heard concerns about the petition |  |
| 23 | and election process for prospective board candidates. |  |
| 24 | Tonight's hearing will focus on exploring these |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | procedural issues more in depth. | 0:02:09 |
| 2 | So before we begin, let's review how we got |  |
| 3 | to this point again. In 2021, the Senate and House |  |
| 4 | passed House Bill 2908, which required the Chicago |  |
| 5 | Board of Education to became fully elected by 2027. | 0:02:24 |
| 6 | Under the law, starting January 15th of 2025, |  |
| 7 | a two-year hybrid period begins, with ten members being |  |
| 8 | elected to four-year terms, ten members being appointed |  |
| 9 | to two-year terms, and the board president being |  |
| 10 | appointed by the mayor for a two-year term. | 0:02:47 |
| 11 | In 2027, when the mayor appointed terms the |  |
| 12 | ten members and the elected at large members will be |  |
| 13 | elected to four-year terms. Based on Chicago's |  |
| 14 | population, the ten districts would be comprised of |  |
| 15 | approximately 274,601 . And the 20 districts will be |  |
| 16 | comprised at around 137,301 people, according to the |  |
| 17 | census. | 0:03:15 |
| 18 | The City of Chicago is 35.9 percent white, |  |
| 19 | 29.8 percent Hispanic, 29.2 percent black, 7 percent |  |
| 20 | Asian, and about 11 percent describes themselves as two |  |
| 21 | or more races. The school code requires that the |  |
| 22 | districts be compact, contiguous, and substantially |  |
| 23 | equal in population and consistent with the Illinois |  |
| 24 | Voting Rights Act. | 0:03:43 |
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| 1 | If you know someone who wants to provide |  |
| 2 | input but could not make it today, additional |  |
| 3 | opportunities to provide comment is available for them |  |
| 4 | if they access www.ilsenateredistricting.com, dot I-L, |  |
| 5 | Senate District -- Redistricting.com. | 0:04:06 |
| 6 | Or via email at Chicago, in all caps, ERSB, |  |
| 7 | capital C in Committee at Senatedem.ilga.gov. So |  |
| 8 | that's Chicago, ERSBC, spell out committee, at |  |
| 9 | Senatedem.ilga.gov. | 0:04:28 |
| 10 | Again, thanks so much for your -- taking the |  |
| 11 | time to join us here this evening. This is a -- again, |  |
| 12 | a critical issue that I -- I know without a shadow a |  |
| 13 | doubt deserve community input, and this is -- process |  |
| 14 | has been driven by community-led input. | 0:04:45 |
| 15 | And so I'd like to now turn this over to our |  |
| 16 | vice chair, Senator Martwick, for additional comments. |  |
| 17 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Thank you, Madame |  |
| 18 | Chair. As you noted, we -- we -- we've got a very |  |
|  | complex process and obviously, and thankfully, there |  |
| 20 | are numerous opinions about what these districts should |  |
| 21 | look like. | 0:05:06 |
| 22 | And that is a testament to people's |  |
|  | involvement in their communities and their desire for |  |
| 24 | adequate representation. I am of course looking |  |
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| 1 | forward to hearing more about people's opinions on the |  |
| 2 | elections and the process for selection of the |  |
| 3 | appointed board members, and this work is -- is |  |
| 4 | obviously to dive deeper and get into these -- the nuts |  |
| 5 | and bolts of these important issues. | 0:05:35 |
| 6 | So you know, there -- there will be some |  |
| 7 | disagreements along the way, and like we said, the good |  |
| 8 | news is that our goals are the same, and that's |  |
| 9 | accountability, transparency, and adequate |  |
| 10 | representation for our diverse and amazing city and |  |
| 11 | school district. | 0:05:54 |
| 12 | So again, I want to extend my appreciation to |  |
| 13 | all of you who are here tonight and have worked so hard |  |
| 14 | to get us to this point. I look forward to tonight's |  |
| 15 | conversation. | 0:06:03 |
| 16 | Thank you, Madame Chair. |  |
| 17 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: You're welcome, Senator. |  |
| 18 | One moment, please. I did see Senator |  |
|  | McConchie. I was searching to see if you would like to |  |
| 20 | speak, or Senator Seth. Oh. Senator McConchie? | 0:06:31 |
| 21 | SENATOR MCCONCHIE: Hi, Leader. I just think |  |
|  | we should go straight to our witnesses tonight. |  |
| 23 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Great. So thank you to |  |
| 24 | those who took time again, and I look forward to |  |
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| 1 | hearing today's testimonies. | 0:06:45 |
| 2 | And I know for sure that we're all passionate |  |
| 3 | about this subject, but I'm urging all of us to please |  |
| 4 | stick to the topic at hand and let's focus on a |  |
| 5 | successful implementation of this transition. | 0:07:02 |
| 6 | We do have a lot of input tonight, so without |  |
| 7 | further ado, I'd like to welcome Dulce Arroyo, |  |
| 8 | Palenique [phonetic] to the microphone from Palenique. | 0:07:14 |
| 9 | How bad did I do? |  |
| 10 | MS. ARROYO: That's all right. It's Dulce |  |
| 11 | Arroyo from Palenque LSNA. You can say LSNA. Yeah. |  |
| 12 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Welcome. |  |
| 13 | MS. ARROYO: Thank -- thank you, thank you. |  |
| 14 | So again, my name is Dulce Arroyo, and I'm an education |  |
| 15 | organizer at Palenque LSNA in Logan Square. | 0:07:34 |
| 16 | I support the community map submitted by the |  |
| 17 | ERSB Community Coalition, who is in coalition with LSNA |  |
| 18 | and numerous other organizations that fight for all |  |
| 19 | students in Chicago regardless of their ZIP codes. | 0:07:47 |
| 20 | Although I am from the North Side, tonight |  |
| 21 | I'm not speaking as a North Sider. Neighborhood |  |
| 22 | classification doesn't matter to me when it comes to |  |
| 23 | education. | 0:07:56 |
| 24 | I'm speaking as a -- as a parent, and I'm |  |
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| 1 | communities have faith but they also have the right to |  |
| 2 | expect that their elected officials will make better |  |
| 3 | choices to look out for the -- their families' |  |
| 4 | well-beings. | 0:09:13 |
| 5 | Those elected officials will now include, for |  |
| 6 | the first time ever in the City of Chicago, an elected |  |
| 7 | school board. So we have the -- the LSNA support the |  |
| 8 | community map, because we know its creation was |  |
| 9 | intentional in best representing marginalized |  |
| 10 | communities. | 0:09:26 |
| 11 | Our legislators have a profound opportunity |  |
| 12 | to stand with us now and improve every child's public |  |
| 13 | education experience in Chicago by supporting our |  |
| 14 | community map. |  |
| 15 | Thank you for your time. | 0:09:38 |
| 16 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you. I appreciate |  |
| 17 | your testimony. So I'm just wondering if you can like |  |
| 18 | walk us through the numbers of the map that you are in |  |
| 19 | support of the community. | 0:09:54 |
| 20 | Or if we can provide that support. Have you |  |
| 21 | had a chance to dive into the numbers? |  |
| 22 | MS. ARROYO: Yes. Is that something that I |  |
|  | can share onscreen momentarily? I was not prepared to |  |
| 24 | present the actual map. | $0: 10: 13$ |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I thought I was just here to give a |  |
|  | testimony. But. |  |
| 3 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: No, we can -- we can |  |
|  | share those numbers. Just wanted to make sure that you |  |
|  | were aware and abreast of them, so we could put them |  |
| 6 | up. | 0:10:23 |
| 7 | And then that way, have a -- a -- a |  |
| 8 | explanation or an -- a full details explaining of -- of |  |
|  | what the numbers are. So we can put them up for you. | 0:10:33 |
| 10 | MS. ARROYO: Absolutely. |  |
| 11 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. |  |
| 12 | MS. ARROYO: Okay. Do I put it in the chat |  |
| 13 | or just share it? | 0:10:45 |
| 14 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: No. Giovanni is going to |  |
| 15 | -- Randazzo is going to share the numbers and -- and |  |
| 16 | give us some explanation. |  |
| 17 | MS. ARROYO: Okay. | 0:10:58 |
| 18 | MR. RANDAZZO: Dulce, did you want to go |  |
| 19 | through the -- the ten-district map or did -- did you |  |
|  | want to go through the 20 -district map? That you guys |  |
| 21 | had submitted. | 0:11:10 |
| 22 | MS. ARROYO: Yeah. I believe the |  |
|  | ten-district map is the first one that we have |  |
| 24 | submitted or the first one that was submitted. The one |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. RANDAZZO: Would you like me to go to the |  |
| 2 | 20-district map and -- I've got those out in sections |  |
| 3 | of the City if that works. | $0: 13: 17$ |
| 4 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: I'd like that, and I see |  |
| 5 | some thumbs up of members, Giovanni. |  |
| 6 | MR. RANDAZZO: Okay. |  |
| 7 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you. | 0:13:24 |
| 8 | MR. RANDAZZO: So Dulce, I'm going to go |  |
| 9 | through and I've got the numbers here in the corner. |  |
| 10 | MS. ARROYO: Sure. |  |
| 11 | MR. RANDAZZO: So the first one is Districts |  |
| 12 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, North Side of Chicago. And the |  |
|  | numbers are down there. So if you want to speak to |  |
| 14 | those districts. | $0: 13: 45$ |
| 15 | MS. ARROYO: Yeah, so I mean, again, we're |  |
|  | just here to -- to -- or to, you know, really pinpoint |  |
|  | the fact that we have seven black majority districts, |  |
|  | as you can see below, and six Latine because, again, |  |
|  | representation is really what I'm here to -- to speak |  |
| 20 | on, not so much the -- you know, the -- the |  |
| 21 | nitty-gritty of the mapping. | $0: 14: 11$ |
| 22 | I wasn't a part of creating the map. So I do |  |
|  | appreciate y'all's patience and understanding on that. |  |
| 24 | But again, those majority black and brown districts |  |
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| 1 | create opportunities for fair representation across all |  |
| 2 | racial and demographic groups, maintains communities of |  |
| 3 | interest, and -- and it keeps the district as compact |  |
| 4 | as possible. | 0:14:32 |
| 5 | We try to -- it -- this map adheres to all |  |
| 6 | federal districting laws, particularly those around |  |
| 7 | packing and cracking of racial groups. Again, the -- |  |
| 8 | the way that that ILGA map, you know, kind of has that |  |
| 9 | rundown like -- the -- that split, right? | 0:14:45 |
| 10 | Obviously it's not the map we're looking at |  |
| 11 | right now. But we -- our map, our community map, |  |
| 12 | didn't do that. And then the -- our maps creates |  |
| 13 | opportunities for pro-education representation that |  |
| 14 | accurately reflects the residents of the City. | 0:14:58 |
| 15 | So again, just really leading back on that |  |
| 16 | seven majority black and six majority Latine or Latinx |  |
| 17 | neighborhoods is -- or districts is really what we -- |  |
| 18 | we've been trying to push upon to -- for -- for the |  |
| 19 | Senate to understand. | 0:15:14 |
| 20 | And that is it for my piece. Thank you very |  |
| 21 | much. |  |
| 22 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay, Dulce. So I just |  |
|  | want to just verify. I -- I -- is this -- do we have |  |
| 24 | the accurate numbers? | 0:15:28 |
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| 1 | We just want to make sure it's an accurate |  |
| 2 | map that we're reflecting and they're the accurate |  |
| 3 | numbers. |  |
| 4 | MR. RANDAZZO: Madame Chair, I was going to |  |
| 5 | ask that -- that as well, because the -- the numbers we |  |
| 6 | have only show three Latin -- | 0:15:42 |
| 7 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Yeah. |  |
| 8 | MR. RANDAZZO: -- districts with a majority. |  |
| 9 | One's -- |  |
| 10 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. |  |
| 11 | MR. RANDAZZO: -- 85 percent -- |  |
| 12 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: All right. |  |
| 13 | MR. RANDAZZO: -- one's 78 percent, and one's |  |
| 14 | 50.94. So I -- I -- and you said there were six. I |  |
| 15 | just want to make sure we have -- we have the right -- |  |
| 16 | the right final submission from you. | 0:15:59 |
| 17 | The -- this is the last one we have. I just |  |
| 18 | wanted to make sure of that. |  |
| 19 | MS. ARROYO: Yeah. Again, so the first one |  |
| 20 | that I have mentioned previously was the ten districts, |  |
| 21 | so apologies. I'm not really looking at the screen. | 0:16:13 |
| 22 | The one that's on the screen is for the |  |
| 23 | 20 -district maps -- the 20 -- 20 -district. But we will |  |
| 24 | definitely get you all those accurate numbers for the |  |
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| 1 | 20 districts and for the ten-district map. | 0:16:27 |
| 2 | So apologies on that. We'll make sure to get |  |
| 3 | that to you. So again, it's -- the one that we will |  |
| 4 | update you all with is seven majority black, six |  |
| 5 | majority Latine/Latinx. Again, we will make sure to |  |
| 6 | get that to you. | 0:16:41 |
| 7 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. Thank you. And |  |
| 8 | thank you for your input tonight. | 0:16:46 |
| 9 | I'll -- I'll move forward now to Brian |  |
| 10 | Metcalf from Destiny Foundation. Mr. Metcalf? |  |
| 11 | Okay. If Mr. Metcalf shows up and we're -- |  |
| 12 | we'll chime him in. I'll move on to Kids First Chicago |  |
| 13 | Elected School Board Taskforce. We have two members |  |
| 14 | seeking to speak. First we'll -- we'll hear from Hal |  |
| 15 | Woods. | 0:17:20 |
| 16 | MR. WOODS: If it's all right with the |  |
| 17 | committee members, I'd actually like to tag team this |  |
| 18 | with my colleague. Dr. Jose Pacas is here with me this |  |
| 19 | evening. | 0:17:29 |
| 20 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Yes. He would go next if |  |
| 21 | you tag team. That's fine. |  |
| 22 | MR. WOODS: Wonderful. Is it all right if I |  |
| 23 | do a screenshare? | 0:17:35 |
| 24 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Sure. |  |
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| 1 | MR. WOODS: Terrific. |  |
| 2 | Jose, I'll turn over to you. | 0:17:43 |
| 3 | MR. PACAS: Thank you, Hal. |  |
| 4 | Good evening -- good evening, everybody. |  |
| 5 | It's a pleasure, honor to be here with all of you. My |  |
| 6 | name is Jose Pacas. I am the chief of data science and |  |
| 7 | research at Kids First Chicago. | 0:17:55 |
| 8 | The insights I'd like to share tonight -- if |  |
| 9 | you could to -- to the next slide, Hal, please -- is |  |
| 10 | about a citywide poll that we just ran that $I$ think |  |
| 11 | will be informative for a successful transition. | 0:18:08 |
| 12 | So in the spring of 2021, we conducted a Kids |  |
| 13 | First Chicago more than three -- workshops with more |  |
| 14 | than 300 parents in our network to understand their |  |
| 15 | perspectives and priorities for pending state |  |
| 16 | legislation authorizing the elected school board in |  |
| 17 | Chicago. | 0:18:22 |
| 18 | Following that enactment, we launched a |  |
|  | taskforce, which I'm -- you know, many of you are -- |  |
|  | have heard from parents on our taskforce, to identify |  |
|  | and advocate for priorities that parents felt, if |  |
|  | addressed, would help ensure a successful transition to |  |
|  | an elected school board. | 0:18:38 |
| 24 | These priorities included campaign spending |  |
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|  | limits, parent seats on the board, non-citizen |  |
|  | participation, and fair racial representation in school |  |
|  | board districts. So in the fall of 2023, literally hot |  |
|  | off the press on Monday, we had a citywide poll of |  |
| 5 | Chicago registered and/or eligible voters. | 0:18:59 |
| 6 | So keep that in mind as I present these |  |
|  | findings. With the objective of assessing whether the |  |
|  | viewpoints and priorities held by these parents, by |  |
|  | Kids First Chicago parents, aligned with those of the |  |
| 10 | broader Chicago voter population. | 0:19:11 |
| 11 | My background -- I come from the U.S. Census |  |
| 12 | Bureau, where I studied poverty statistics. Having |  |
| 13 | surveys that are accurate and reflect the opinions of |  |
| 14 | those that we are trying to understand is extremely |  |
| 15 | important to me, so I was very excited to get to do |  |
| 16 | this and participate in this work. | 0:19:29 |
| 17 | So we conducted a survey between September |  |
| 18 | 26 th to October 9th, 2023. The survey was completed by |  |
|  | a total of 723 voting age Chicago residents from across |  |
| 20 | all of Chicago. | 0:19:42 |
| 21 | We recruited these respondents by Qualtrics, |  |
|  | through Qualtrics, a commercial survey sampling |  |
|  | administration company. They use a random online |  |
| 24 | sampling that uses multiple sample providers, and we |  |
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| 1 | estimate the margin of error to be plus or minus -- |  |
| 2 | plus or minus 5 percentage points. | 0:19:59 |
| 3 | The racial ethnic distribution of the |  |
| 4 | respondents is 40 percent black, 30 percent white, 19 |  |
| 5 | percent Latine, 4 percent Asian, 3 percent multiple |  |
| 6 | races, and 4 percent other races. Average age was |  |
| 7 | about 40. | 0:20:12 |
| 8 | 62 percent of our respondents identified |  |
| 9 | their gender as female, 41 percent of the respondents |  |
| 10 | are parents, and about 85 percent of those parents have |  |
| 11 | children in -- enrolled in public schools. | 0:20:25 |
| 12 | So I'm just going to walk you through some of |  |
| 13 | the top level findings of this poll. |  |
| 14 | First, on the issue of racial and ethnic |  |
| 15 | composition of the districts, we find that three out of |  |
| 16 | four Chicago voters believe it's extremely or very |  |
| 17 | important that Chicago elected school board districts |  |
|  | have a racial ethnic composition that reflects the |  |
| 19 | diversity of CPS's student population. | 0:20:50 |
| 20 | On this graph, you'd be focusing on the dark |  |
| 21 | green. That is the eligible to vote population or |  |
| 22 | registered to vote. So the 75 is the 44 and the 31 |  |
| 23 | percent from the very and extremely. | 0:21:02 |
| 24 | I've broken it down into public school |  |
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|  | parents versus non-public school parents just so you |  |
|  | can see we don't see a lot of variation in how people |  |
|  | are responding to these questions. | 0:21:14 |
| 4 | The next -- when we asked about parent seats |  |
|  | on the board, we find that nearly seven in ten Chicago |  |
|  | voters believe it is -- it is extremely or very |  |
|  | important that there be board seats reserved only for |  |
|  | CPS parents. That's, again, the 27 percent from |  |
|  | extremely and the 42 percent for very. | 0:21:32 |
| 10 | Final -- second and the -- the next item is |  |
| 11 | the ability to vote on school board regardless of |  |
| 12 | immigration status. We found that nearly seven in ten |  |
| 13 | Chicago voters support any adult, regardless of |  |
| 14 | immigration status, voting in a -- in a Chicago elected |  |
| 15 | school board regardless, again, of their immigration |  |
| 16 | status. | 0:21:52 |
| 17 | That's a majority of respondents. When we |  |
| 18 | asked about the ability to served on the school board, |  |
| 19 | regardless of immigration status, we found that that |  |
| 20 | was not as high -- as highly supported. | 0:22:03 |
| 21 | That is -- however, that is still a nearly |  |
| 22 | three out of every five Chicago voters support any |  |
| 23 | Chicago adult serving on the board. Again, regardless |  |
| 24 | of immigration status. | $0: 22: 12$ |
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|  | Senate and the House, both the -- this committee, but |  |
|  | also the House working group having those -- those |  |
|  | working group -- or those hearings back in the spring, |  |
|  | and thank you to the senate for continuing to have |  |
|  | these conversations in the fall. | 0:23:24 |
| 6 | We really urge this committee and we urge the |  |
|  | -- the broader general assembly to -- to think about |  |
|  | some new maps. We think the maps that have been |  |
|  | produced thus far don't go as far as they could. | 0:23:33 |
| 10 | We know that there are limitations election |  |
| 11 | law at the federal and state level. The new map that |  |
| 12 | we've submitted really adheres to all those election |  |
| 13 | laws, and we urge this committee to look at that map. | 0:23:44 |
| 14 | We know that no committee -- that -- that no |  |
| 15 | single map is going to be adopted by this committee, |  |
| 16 | but we urge you all to look at those as well. We urge |  |
| 17 | this committee again to ensure that we have a |  |
| 18 | significant CPS population that's not disenfranchised |  |
| 19 | from being able to participate in school board |  |
| 20 | elections, that -- that this committee look to modify |  |
| 21 | elected school board law to allow Chicagoan, regardless |  |
|  | of immigration status, to be able to vote or to run in |  |
| 23 | elected school board election. | 0:24:08 |
| 24 | We encourage this committee to also look at |  |
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|  | receive compensation, that could make it more |  |
| 2 | incentivized and could broaden the pool of candidates |  |
|  | that ultimately run for school board elections. | 0:25:13 |
| 4 | Turn it over to Jose just to -- one thing |  |
|  | that is not in the purview of this committee but to |  |
|  | some -- just a -- I think an important consideration |  |
|  | for all the advocates here this evening. | 0:25:23 |
| 8 | MR. PACAS: Thank you, Hal. I always relish |  |
|  | the opportunity to talk more about data. We asked |  |
| 10 | about awareness of the transition to elected school |  |
| 11 | board. | 0:25:33 |
| 12 | And we found that there's a lack of broad |  |
| 13 | awareness among Chicago voters as the City transitions |  |
| 14 | to an elected school board. Only half of all of our -- |  |
| 15 | Of -- of all of our respondents were aware of the |  |
| 16 | upcoming transition. | 0:25:48 |
| 17 | Roughly two out of five CPS families are |  |
| 18 | unaware of the transition. That's the 60 percent that |  |
| 19 | are aware, 40 percent that are not. | 0:25:56 |
| 20 | And about 50 percent, half of the -- half of |  |
| 21 | people that are non-parents or have parents in |  |
|  | non-public schools are not aware. So awareness is |  |
|  | something that we are looking to -- that we need to |  |
| 24 | increase overall. And with that, I'll pass it off to |  |
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| 1 | Hal. | 0:26:16 |
| 2 | MR. WOODS: And we can -- happy to take |  |
| 3 | questions. Again, any of the -- the information we've |  |
| 4 | presented here vis-à-vis polling, but also happy to |  |
| 5 | answer any questions about the map, the new map that |  |
| 6 | we've submitted, or anything about the transition over |  |
| 7 | the next two years or -- or next fall to the hybrid |  |
| 8 | board and then moving to the full board in 2027. | 0:26:37 |
| 9 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Great. Thanks, Hal. So |  |
| 10 | I guess the question would be if -- if you'd like for |  |
|  | us to put the map up, we could put the map up and kind |  |
| 12 | of just go through it quickly. | 0:26:47 |
| 13 | MR. WOODS: Sure. I can also pull it up if |  |
| 14 | that's easier. Yeah, and so we -- I mean, this is |  |
| 15 | something that our taskforce -- our parent taskforce -- |  |
| 16 | we really presented a whole host of maps to that |  |
| 17 | taskforce. | 0:27:14 |
| 18 | And we let those parents decide which one was |  |
|  | the one they wanted to ultimately submit to this |  |
|  | committee and, if the House resumes their hearings, to |  |
|  | the House committee as well. We know -- you know, |  |
| 22 | Chicago segregation unfortunately doesn't allow us to |  |
|  | -- to mirror CPS student demographics. | 0:27:29 |
| 24 | So working with a map- -- mapmaker or giving |  |
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|  | different prototype options, we've been able to create |  |
|  | a map that we believe gets as close as possible, |  |
|  | provides large pluralities for our Latine and our -- |  |
|  | our black population for the districts that are |  |
|  | predominantly black and Latine and minimizes -- reduces |  |
|  | the number of white majority districts as well. | 0:27:50 |
| 7 | MR. RANDAZZO: Hal, do you want me to go to |  |
|  | the sections and you guys can kind of talk about those |  |
| 9 | individual districts? If that helps. |  |
| 10 | MR. WOODS: I -- I don't -- I mean, it -- |  |
| 11 | it's up to the committee members if they want us to |  |
| 12 | kind of go deep into -- to -- I think you know our |  |
| 13 | whole premise behind this and working with our parents |  |
| 14 | on this -- you know, obviously we -- we -- our parents |  |
| 15 | recommended this one. | 0:28:14 |
| 16 | We have three different options that we've |  |
| 17 | submitted. The reason why we chose the most recent |  |
|  | submission was because we'd heard from members -- I |  |
|  | think it was actually the House working group who felt |  |
|  | that our population deviations were a bit too broad. | 0:28:27 |
| 21 | And we know that even though those comport |  |
|  | with our interpretation of local election law and |  |
|  | knowing that the Chicago ward maps also have this kind |  |
| 24 | of 10 percent differential, 5 percent above and below |  |
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|  | kind of the largest districts, we've actually submitted |  |
|  | a map now that gets down to like . 88 percent population |  |
| 3 | deviation. | 0:28:44 |
| 4 | And so if we want to go into specific |  |
| 5 | districts, you know, happy to do so. I -- I -- I want |  |
|  | to respect the other advocates that are here tonight |  |
|  | that want to speak on their maps or some of the issues |  |
|  | that are most important to them. | 0:28:54 |
| 9 | But I just wanted to articulate that, you |  |
| 10 | know, we heard the feedback about the population |  |
| 11 | variance from the last map that was submitted by Kids |  |
| 12 | First Chicago's parent-led taskforce. And so we -- we |  |
| 13 | went back to our mapmaker. | 0:29:06 |
| 14 | We put a -- a series of options in front of |  |
| 15 | the parents, and they elected to submit this one. |  |
| 16 | Parents would be here this evening, but we actually |  |
| 17 | have a town hall starting in about 18 minutes with |  |
| 18 | Mikva Challenge, specifically on elected school board |  |
| 19 | transition for Chicago, so they couldn't be here with |  |
| 20 | us this evening. | 0:29:23 |
| 21 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. Great. Thank you. |  |
| 22 | Is there anything else that maybe, Giovanni, |  |
|  | you could share with members from that, or we -- we can |  |
| 24 | just -- oops -- whoops, I'm sorry -- we can just move |  |
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|  | on to the next. | 0:29:43 |
| 2 | MR. RANDAZZO: Madame Chair, it'll be online |  |
| 3 | just like the previous presentation for anybody to have |  |
| 4 | questions. |  |
| 5 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Great. Thank you. |  |
| 6 | Any questions of members? Don't see any |  |
|  | hands, so I can thank you to Kids First Chicago Elected |  |
| 8 | School Board Taskforce for your involvement. |  |
| 9 | Appreciate your work. | $0: 30: 03$ |
| 10 | And now moving on to Educators for |  |
| 11 | Excellence. There are three individuals seeking to |  |
| 12 | speak. We can begin with Corrina Demma. | 0:30:13 |
| 13 | MS. DEMMA: Hello. Hi. Hi, Leader |  |
| 14 | Lightford. |  |
| 15 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Good to see you. | 0:30:22 |
| 16 | MS. DEMMA: Good to see you too, and Senator |  |
| 17 | Martwick and the rest of the committee, so happy to |  |
| 18 | hear -- see you again. I'm going to leave most of the |  |
|  | details of our testimony to the teachers who are going |  |
| 20 | to speak. | $0: 30: 33$ |
| 21 | So I'll just take a moment to speak briefly |  |
|  | off the cuff. Go, go. I gotta move when I talk. I -- |  |
|  | oh. I -- I was reminded so much by Dulce's testamary |  |
| 24 | [phonetic] -- Dulce's testimony, because I was a part |  |
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| 1 | Here we are ten years later after those |  |
| 2 | schools were closed. WBEZ's reported on it, NPR's |  |
| 3 | reported on it. We -- we never stopped to see the |  |
| 4 | damage that was being done before forcing policies |  |
| 5 | through. | $0: 32: 30$ |
| 6 | So I urge the committee to consider a |  |
| 7 | ten-district map initially so that we can roll this out |  |
| 8 | slowly and in a thoughtful manner. |  |
| 9 | Secondly, I would also like to endorse her |  |
| 10 | committee on -- her advisory committee for black |  |
| 11 | students so that achievement for black students doesn't |  |
| 12 | become a campaign promise that falls to the wayside. | $0: 32: 52$ |
| 13 | And finally, I would like to say that I think |  |
| 14 | that compensation, as stated by my previous Hal -- Hal |  |
| 15 | Woods -- I'm sorry, I have a five-year-old protesting |  |
| 16 | in the background. Excuse -- excuse us for our |  |
| 17 | realness. | 0:33:11 |
| 18 | But I'm very pleased to see the results from |  |
| 19 | Kids First poll. I think Chicagoans are correct. This |  |
| 20 | world deserves compensation. And that will bring true |  |
| 21 | representation. | 0:33:23 |
| 22 | So with that, me and my upset five-year-old |  |
|  | will yield. Thank you for your time. Apologies. | 0:33:31 |
| 24 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Oh, you're welcome. |  |
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| 1 | Devon Pucciarello? |  |
| 2 | MS. PUCCIARELLO: Pucciarello. Yes. |  |
| 3 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Yeah. Hi. | 0:33:41 |
| 4 | MS. PUCCIARELLO: Hi. Let me just get myself |  |
| 5 | on the -- |  |
| 6 | So good evening, members of the Special |  |
| 7 | Senate Committee and the Chicago Elected |  |
| 8 | Representatives School Board -- Board. |  |
| 9 | My name is Devon Pucciarello, and I'm a |  |
| 10 | ten-plus-year CPS teacher and a proud member of |  |
| 11 | Educators for Excellence Elected School Board Teacher |  |
| 12 | Action Team. | $0: 34: 01$ |
| 13 | Educators for Excellence is a teacher-led |  |
| 14 | non-profit organization. We work to ensure that CPS |  |
| 15 | teachers' voices are a powerful presence in every |  |
| 16 | policy conversation about what's happening in their |  |
| 17 | schools and classrooms, whether it's in Springfield, at |  |
| 18 | City Hall, or at the board of ed. | 0:34:18 |
| 19 | We are being asked tonight to offer and |  |
| 20 | consider ways in which the transition to a fully |  |
| 21 | elected school board can be implemented more |  |
| 22 | effectively and smoothly. I would like to address |  |
|  | three ways I see this work being done. | 0:34:31 |
| 24 | First, I believe in endorsing the map |  |
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|  | submitted by Valerie Leonard and the Illinois |  |
|  | African-Americans for Equitable Redistricts -- |  |
|  | Redistricting as a natural and more fair process to |  |
|  | attaining a reflective transition. | 0:34:46 |
| 5 | IAFFER's [sic] map only draws the ten |  |
|  | districts required by law for the first elections in |  |
|  | 2024. A ten-district map eliminates the need for |  |
|  | additional laws, amendments, and hearings to determine |  |
|  | which ten of an initial 20-district map is allowed to |  |
| 10 | vote in 2024. | 0:35:05 |
| 11 | Let's also consider the value of time to |  |
| 12 | reflect on how effective the initial ten-district map |  |
| 13 | is before carving up the City into 20 districts for the |  |
| 14 | second round. Not only does the map keep communities |  |
| 15 | together, but we know it will pass legal muster as it |  |
| 16 | falls with aldermanic districts already drawn. | 0:35:24 |
| 17 | Secondly, I think IAAFER's recommendation to |  |
| 18 | codify into law an advisory committee on the board of |  |
| 19 | education addressing the achievement gap for black |  |
| 20 | students is crucial to an effective transition. We |  |
| 21 | need the board to be accountable to these students in a |  |
|  | concrete way so they don't become a campaign promise |  |
| 23 | only to fall to the wayside after an election. | 0:35:46 |
| 24 | Finally, I'd like to take the opportunity to |  |
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| 1 | highlight the absolute necessity of compensating board |  |
| 2 | of education members. The entire purpose of making |  |
| 3 | those seats elected is to bring the board closer to |  |
| 4 | being a body that is true -- truly a representation of |  |
| 5 | CPS families and students. | 0:36:04 |
| 6 | How can we expect that crucial work to be |  |
| 7 | done by parents, teachers, and community members for |  |
| 8 | free. If -- if we don't, we can't count on -- we can |  |
| 9 | count on rich people or those backed by special |  |
| 10 | interest groups taking those seats. | 0:36:17 |
| 11 | As it stands right now, teachers cannot run |  |
| 12 | without leaving their classrooms. I could not afford |  |
| 13 | to do that. |  |
| 14 | How we can hope for a meaningful transition |  |
| 15 | to a representative school board if we aren't willing |  |
| 16 | to invest the time, energy, and resources that are |  |
| 17 | necessary to build a governing body that the people can |  |
| 18 | trust and believe in? | 0:36:38 |
| 19 | I urge members of this committee to support |  |
| 20 | legislation that allow for board members to be |  |
| 21 | compensated. I hope that you support and consider this |  |
| 22 | in the veto session in October. |  |
| 23 | Thank you. | 0:36:49 |
| 24 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you. Thank you for |  |
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|  | your testimony, Devon. |  |
| 2 | And then we would like to hear from Corinne |  |
|  | Lydon. | 0:37:00 |
| 4 | MS. LYDON: Hi. How are you? |  |
| 5 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Hi. Hi, Corinne. |  |
| 6 | MS. LYDON: Sorry. I'm just trying to get my |  |
|  | -- I'm working with my phone here, so I'm kind of |  |
| 8 | juggling three things at once. | 0:37:09 |
| 9 | So my name is Corinne Lydon, and I'm a second |  |
| 10 | year CPS teacher and I'm a 23 -year CPS parent and a |  |
| 11 | proud member of Educators for Excellence. And that -- |  |
| 12 | that -- that's a school board teacher action team. | 0:37:24 |
| 13 | We are being asked tonight to offer ways to |  |
| 14 | consider how this transition can be done in the most |  |
| 15 | effective way possible. And I want to echo what |  |
| 16 | Corinne -- Corrina said as well about the -- endorsing |  |
| 17 | the map being submitted by Valerie Leonard. | $0: 37: 40$ |
| 18 | I also believe that taking the time to be |  |
| 19 | reflective of the process and having a map that only |  |
| 20 | draws ten districts required will allow us the time to |  |
| 21 | be more thoughtful of this process and take the time to |  |
| 22 | ```determine which 20 ultimately will be more effective. I also feel that the map will keep communities together, but I also know that it will pass``` | $0: 38: 01$ |
| 23 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |

1 legal muster -- aldermanic districts already drawn. I
2 also think that the IAFF- -- or IAAFER's recommendation to codify into law the advisory committee will address the achievement gap for black students.

As a West Side teacher and parent, I think this is really crucial to have this implemented for an effective transition. I think the board needs to be accountable to these students in a way that's effective and accountable, in a way that they can be held to it.

And I don't want to see my students that I'm teaching and I'm surrounded by fall wayside just because their campaign promises aren't being met. I also want to take this opportunity to highlight the necessity of compensating the board.

Because again, as a CPS parent for 23 years, I've seen myself the ineffectiveness of having only a small portion of the students represented. And I feel that when we only have the richest and the most privileged representative of the board because those are the only ones that can actually work for free, we are doing a extreme disservice to our students. 0:39:20

So if we are only backing the rich people, the privileged people, and not representing the parents and teachers who are really reflective of our true
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|  | student body and community, then we are not being able |  |
|  | to actually serve them and serve their needs. | 0:39:38 |
| 3 | So as it stands right now, teachers cannot |  |
|  | run. If the parents of those community -- communities |  |
|  | that we need to serve can't run because they can't |  |
|  | afford to take that time off, then we won't be having a |  |
|  | meaningful school board in a way that's effective for |  |
| 8 | those students. | 0:39:56 |
| 9 | I also think that we need to take care of |  |
| 10 | those communities in a way that truly involves them. |  |
| 11 | And if we're not compensating, then we can't involve |  |
| 12 | them in a way that's the most meaningful. | 0:40:11 |
| 13 | Personally, as a teacher, I would love to be |  |
| 14 | able to serve on these kind of things, but I can't |  |
| 15 | afford to leave my job to do it. So I urge members of |  |
| 16 | this committee to support the legislation that will |  |
| 17 | allow for the board members to be compensated so we can |  |
| 18 | make sure that this happens. | 0:40:24 |
| 19 | I hope you support and consider this in the |  |
|  | veto session in October. Thank you. |  |
| 21 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you, Corinne. |  |
| 22 | So before I go on to the next group, just a |  |
| 23 | couple questions for Educators for Excellent [sic] as |  |
| 24 | it relates to the -- the districts, the -- the actual |  |
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|  | -- when would you transition from ten to 20 districts? | 0:40:51 |
| 2 | And that could be for either Corrina, Devon, |  |
|  | or Corinne. |  |
| 4 | MS. DEMMA: Yeah. I'll jump in. I would say |  |
| 5 | that as a -- as a parent more than as an organizer, I |  |
|  | would want to follow -- as the law stands right now, I |  |
|  | would -- I would take -- I would love for there to some |  |
|  | sort of -- a committee process that is part of |  |
|  | reflecting on that that -- that meets one year after |  |
| 10 | the first election, says, stop, hold, let's check in |  |
| 11 | and see how this has gone before we draw anything else. | 0:41:25 |
| 12 | So I guess I'm, you know, maybe informally |  |
| 13 | suggesting more advisory committees. But I mean, you |  |
| 14 | know, in this -- in this kind of a process, we're |  |
| 15 | talking about, you know, the City of Chicago. | 0:41:37 |
| 16 | I don't think we can have too much oversight. |  |
| 17 | So I guess my long-winded answer would be, you know, |  |
| 18 | within a year, I think that there should be a formal |  |
| 19 | reflective process as part of all this. | 0:41:52 |
| 20 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. So then with the |  |
| 21 | ten districts, do you think that if it were a |  |
| 22 | ten-district map, that that would reduce diversity? | $0: 42: 01$ |
| 23 | MS. DEMMA: Not in the way that IAAFER's |  |
| 24 | created the maps. No, I don't. |  |
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| 1 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. All right. Well, |  |
|  | thanks so much. Thank you, Educators for Excellence, |  |
| 3 | for your participation and your advocacy. | 0:42:16 |
| 4 | MS. DEMMA: Oh, absolutely. Thank you for |  |
| 5 | having us. |  |
| 6 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: My pleasure. |  |
| 7 | Eli Brottman, on behalf of himself. Mr. Eli. | 0:42:25 |
| 8 | MR. BROTTMAN: Good evening, everyone. Thank |  |
|  | you again, Madame Chair, and the committee for taking |  |
| 10 | the time to listen to all of the rich feedback that |  |
| 11 | witnesses are providing and the different opinions on |  |
| 12 | how to make this happen. | 0:42:39 |
| 13 | So tonight I want to focus on -- as we all |  |
| 14 | have the process going from ten to 20 districts, I |  |
| 15 | think before I get into the specifics of my map and how |  |
| 16 | that's going to look, I want to talk about more of the |  |
| 17 | procedural how -- what -- how that may work. | $0: 42: 57$ |
| 18 | Couple ideas I have for that. But both |  |
|  | center around using -- having the ten- and 20-district |  |
| 20 | maps tied to one another, in that the 20-district map |  |
| 21 | consists of 20 districts, each of which is contained |  |
| 22 | exactly within two -- each -- two districts on a |  |
| 23 | 20-district map contained as one district on a |  |
| 24 | ten-district map, much like each Illinois Senate |  |
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|  | district consists of two House districts, each district |  |
|  | in a ten-district map consists of two districts in a |  |
| 3 | 20-district map. | 0:43:27 |
| 4 | This will help with the transition from |  |
| 5 | appointed to elected in making sure that we elect from |  |
|  | the 20 districts as well as in making sure that the 20 |  |
|  | districts in the long run represent and reflect the |  |
|  | diversity of our city the -- in the best way possible. | 0:43:44 |
| 9 | So with that, I believe starting with the |  |
| 10 | 20-district map is the best approach, because that's |  |
| 11 | the long-term objective with the transition to an |  |
| 12 | elected representative school board, and we need to |  |
| 13 | make sure that, though we want the ten districts to be |  |
| 14 | representative, I believe I have a configuration which |  |
| 15 | is, we need to make sure that the long run is as |  |
| 16 | representative as possible and that we don't lose |  |
| 17 | representation in the transition from a ten- to a |  |
| 18 | 20-district map later. | 0:44:14 |
| 19 | As for the transition and navigating the |  |
|  | different districts, I have two ideas. One would be |  |
|  | that a lottery is conducted and that the people are |  |
| 22 | elected in ten of the 20 districts initially and then |  |
| 23 | the other ten. | 0:44:32 |
| 24 | So ten of the 20 in 2024 and the other ten in |  |
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| 1 | 2026. However, I don't know that this is compliant |  |
| 2 | with the current law with -- given that it requires the |  |
| 3 | City to be in a ten-district map. | 0:44:46 |
| 4 | So what I would suggest is that the |  |
| 5 | ten-district map covering the whole City be used and |  |
| 6 | that for the 2026 election, members from whichever |  |
| 7 | districts of the 20 we did not elect members from in |  |
| 8 | 2024. |  |
| 9 | For instance -- and I'll go through some |  |
| 10 | examples on my map. | 0:45:10 |
| 11 | For instance, if one district on the |  |
| 12 | ten-district map consists of Districts 2 and 3 on my |  |
| 13 | 20-district map, and we elect someone from District 2 |  |
| 14 | in 2024, then in 2026, we would be electing someone |  |
| 15 | from District 3 . | 0:45:29 |
| 16 | However, in the 2024 election, people could |  |
| 17 | run who live in either District 2 or District 3 on the |  |
| 18 | 20-district map. So -- if that makes sense. | 0:45:41 |
| 19 | I know it's a little hard to explain in |  |
| 20 | words, but I will show it again on the screen. Is it |  |
| 21 | okay if I share my screen to show the map? | 0:45:49 |
| 22 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Oh, sure. |  |
| 23 | MR. BROTTMAN: Thank you. |  |
| 24 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Mm-hmm. And while -- |  |
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| 1 | MR. BROTTMAN: All right. | 0:45:55 |
| 2 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: -- and while -- |  |
| 3 | MR. BROTTMAN: So -- |  |
| 4 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: -- you're doing that -- |  |
| 5 | Eli, while you're doing that, can you -- I don't know |  |
| 6 | if I heard you say when the transition from the ten to |  |
| 7 | the 20 districts should actually happen. Did you -- | 0:46:08 |
| 8 | MR. BROTTMAN: I -- |  |
| 9 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: -- say that and I missed |  |
| 10 | it? |  |
| 11 | MR. BROTTMAN: I believe it should happen in |  |
| 12 | accordance with the way the law's currently written. |  |
| 13 | So electing ten members in 2024 and then ten more in |  |
| 14 | 2026, making it fully elected in early 2027, when those |  |
| 15 | -- | 0:46:26 |
| 16 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you. |  |
| 17 | MR. BROTTMAN: So this is the 20-district |  |
| 18 | map. I believe that in a ten-district map, it's |  |
| 19 | critical that we have three plurality or majority |  |
| 20 | Hispanic districts and three plurality or majority |  |
| 21 | black districts. | 0:46:41 |
| 22 | And the pairings I'm about to show reflect |  |
|  | that. So I'll go through these pairings one by one and |  |
| 24 | then show some examples of how the transition would |  |
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| 1 | look. | 0:46:51 |
| 2 | These pairings ensure diversity, both in the |  |
| 3 | election for the ten-district map as well as afterward |  |
| 4 | in the 20-district, by trying to pair districts that |  |
| 5 | are as similar demographically as possible when |  |
| 6 | creating the ten-district map. | 0:47:08 |
| 7 | So the first district in the ten-district map |  |
| 8 | would consist of Districts 2 and 3 right here. On the |  |
| 9 | 20-district map, that district is about 70 percent |  |
| 10 | Hispanic voting age population and includes the |  |
| 11 | neighborhoods of Back of the Yards, Brighton Park, and |  |
| 12 | Archer Heights. | 0:47:29 |
| 13 | The next district on the Northwest Side |  |
|  | consists of Districts 5 and 6 on the 20 -district map |  |
| 15 | right here. That includes the neighborhoods of Irving |  |
|  | Park and Belmont Cragin as well as some other areas |  |
|  | near Logan Square. And that district is about 56 |  |
|  | percent Hispanic voting age population. | 0:47:56 |
| 19 | The next district consists of Districts 1 and |  |
|  | 13 right here on the 20 -district map, including parts |  |
|  | of the Loop, the Medical District, Chinatown, Pilsen, |  |
|  | Bridgeport, Little Village, and McKinley Park. That |  |
|  | district is plurality Hispanic voting age population |  |
| 24 | about 49 percent and a slight majority Hispanic on the |  |
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| 1 | overall population. | 0:48:25 |
| 2 | Next we have a district -- on the 20-district |  |
| 3 | map that includes the neighborhoods of Englewood, |  |
| 4 | Auburn Gresham, parts of Roseland, and that district is |  |
| 5 | about 80 percent black voting age population. | 0:48:47 |
| 6 | Next we have Districts -- trying to get this |  |
| 7 | to zoom properly -- 9 and 10 on the 20-district map, |  |
| 8 | including parts of Hyde Park, the East Side, Chatham, |  |
| 9 | and part of Roseland. This district is 71 percent |  |
| 10 | black voter age population. | 0:49:06 |
| 11 | And then -- apologies. Technological issue. |  |
| 12 | Districts 12 and 14 together on the 20-district map, |  |
| 13 | including the neighborhoods of Garfield Park, Austin, |  |
|  | and Logan Square, as well as Lawndale, and this |  |
| 15 | district is 52 percent black voting age population. | 0:49:28 |
| 16 | The other four districts, which I'm about to |  |
|  | go through, are majority or plurality white and are |  |
|  | drawn in a way to maximize the number of people of |  |
| 19 | color elected. That begins with Districts 16 and 17 on |  |
|  | the North Side, including the neighborhoods of Fulton |  |
|  | Market, Lincoln Park, Lakeview, North Center, and |  |
|  | Ravenswood, and that district is about 70 percent white |  |
| 23 | voting age population. | 0:49:58 |
| 24 | Then on the far Northwest Side, Districts 4 |  |
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|  | and 20, including Edgebrook, Norwood Park, Jefferson |  |
|  | Park, O'Hare, Dunning, and Humboldt Park, which is 52 |  |
|  | percent white voter age population. | 0:50:14 |
| 4 | Next, Districts 11 and 15, including much of |  |
|  | the Loop, part of Lincoln Park, Bronzeville, Hyde Park, |  |
|  | which is about 49 percent white voter age population. | 0:50:26 |
| 7 | And then Districts 18 and 19 on the far |  |
|  | Northwest Side, including the neighborhoods of West |  |
|  | Ridge, Andersonville, Edgewater, Rogers Park, and |  |
| 10 | Uptown, which is about 48 percent white voter age |  |
| 11 | population. | 0:50:41 |
| 12 | So in the end, there are only two majority |  |
| 13 | white voting age population districts on this |  |
| 14 | ten-district map. Once again, I want to illustrate, |  |
|  | using a ten-district map, how we would elect members |  |
| 16 | from ten districts. | 0:50:57 |
| 17 | Let's look at Districts 18 and 19 on the far |  |
| 18 | -- far Northwest Side as an example. In the 2024 |  |
| 19 | election, candidates could run in this district who |  |
| 20 | live in either District 18 or 19 as it's drawn. | 0:51:10 |
| 21 | Whoever wins that would be elected to the |  |
|  | school board, and then in 2026, there would only be a |  |
|  | seat open in whichever of 18 and 19 that individual |  |
| 24 | does not live in. So if they are elected and live in |  |
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| 1 | 28, then a seat in 19 would open in -- in 2024, then we |  |
| 2 | have a seat open in District 18 in 2026. | 0:51:43 |
| 3 | So that goes over the plan going from a ten- |  |
| 4 | to a 20-district map. I also want to echo what has |  |
| 5 | been said by many people earlier regarding compensating |  |
| 6 | school board members. | 0:51:58 |
| 7 | It's important to have a diverse |  |
| 8 | representative school board. This is volunteer |  |
| 9 | service. It often has to be done during the typical |  |
|  | work day. And people should be paid for their service. | $0: 52: 09$ |
| 11 | That's the only way to achieve true |  |
| 12 | diversity. And I urge this body to consider |  |
| 13 | implementing that as well. That concludes my |  |
| 14 | presentation on the map, and I welcome any questions |  |
| 15 | from the committee. Thank you. | $0: 52: 23$ |
| 16 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: You're welcome. Thank |  |
| 17 | you. I do. I -- I want to know, do the -- a |  |
| 18 | ten-district map reduce diversity? | 0:52:36 |
| 19 | MR. BROTTMAN: As a follow-up, are you |  |
|  | referring to my specific ten-district map or more |  |
| 21 | generically? |  |
| 22 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: No, to yours. | $0: 52: 44$ |
| 23 | MR. BROTTMAN: Okay. Mine. I do think it |  |
| 24 | has that potential possibly. There's obviously, you |  |
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| 1 | know, about the same proportion of districts that are |  |
| 2 | majority people of color in my ten- and my 20-district |  |
| 3 | map. | 0:53:00 |
| 4 | But it definitely decreases the likelihood of |  |
| 5 | electing as many people of color from the South and |  |
| 6 | West Sides because just the sheer size of the |  |
| 7 | districts. The ten-district map was crafted carefully |  |
| 8 | from the 20-district map to create diverse |  |
| 9 | representation as best as possible. | 0:53:21 |
| 10 | However, in some of the districts that are |  |
| 11 | very slim majorities or pluralities Hispanic and black, |  |
| 12 | it is harder to say what the outcome of an election is |  |
| 13 | given that there has often been depressed turnout in |  |
| 14 | communities of color due to historical |  |
| 15 | disenfranchisement. | 0:53:42 |
| 16 | So I don't want to give a blanket yes or no |  |
| 17 | that -- in terms of it decreasing diversity, but it |  |
| 18 | certainly does not increase diversity and it has the |  |
|  | potential to decrease it, as does any ten-district map. |  |
| 20 | I hope that answers your question. | $0: 53: 57$ |
| 21 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: It did. Thank you so |  |
| 22 | much. |  |
| 23 | Further questions from member Senator |  |
| 24 | Martwick. | 0:54:04 |
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| 1 | voting age population on the Northwest Side. | 0:54:56 |
| 2 | So 5 and 6 together is 56 percent Hispanic. |  |
| 3 | If we had put 4 with 1 or 5 or 6 , it would be a smaller |  |
| 4 | majority. |  |
| 5 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Okay. So when I |  |
| 6 | look at the D20, D4 -- and I don't have the numbers in |  |
| 7 | front of me. I just have the map. | 0:55:14 |
| 8 | But I assume D20 is substantially a -- a |  |
| 9 | majority white voting area and D4 I would think would |  |
| 10 | at least, by my guess, have a higher Latine population. |  |
| 11 | Is that correct? | 0:55:31 |
| 12 | MR. BROTTMAN: That is. So D20 is 60 -- I'm |  |
| 13 | sorry -- 70 percent white voting age population, and D4 |  |
| 14 | is 34 percent white voting age and 50 percent Hispanic |  |
| 15 | voting age. | 0:55:44 |
| 16 | So that combination of districts is majority |  |
| 17 | white voting age population at 52 percent, but it still |  |
| 18 | leaves the strong potential to elect a Hispanic |  |
| 19 | representative. |  |
| 20 | However, there was -- based on the drawing of |  |
| 21 | the 20-district map and the distribution of Chicago's |  |
| 22 | population, there was no way to create a map with -- a |  |
| 23 | ten-district map with four plurality or majority |  |
| 24 | Hispanic districts. | 0:56:09 |
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| 1 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Yeah. So my |  |
| 2 | question to you is related to the transition from ten |  |
| 3 | to 20. So your recommendation would be that you |  |
| 4 | combine D20 and D4 into a single electoral district for |  |
| 5 | the '24 election. | 0:56:25 |
| 6 | And then depending on who's elected, then |  |
| 7 | that other district would then have an election. |  |
| 8 | Correct? |  |
| 9 | MR. BROTTMAN: Yes. | 0:56:34 |
| 10 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Okay. So let's |  |
| 11 | just say for sake of argument, and this is just a |  |
| 12 | hypothetical, it's not true, but let's say in the 2024 |  |
| 13 | election, that parity happens in this map as presented |  |
| 14 | by you, and let's just say for sake of argument, again |  |
| 15 | hypothetical, that a white person from D4 is elected. | 0:56:57 |
| 16 | Then in 2026, there is an election that |  |
| 17 | occurs in D 20 which is $70-\mathrm{plus}$ percent white. |  |
| 18 | Is that correct? |  |
| 19 | MR. BROTTMAN: It is. Yes. | 0:57:10 |
| 20 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: So could that |  |
|  | have the potential to, in that sort of scenario, to |  |
|  | then decrease the minority representation that we're |  |
| 23 | seeking after the ' 26 map once we've created this, |  |
| 24 | right? | 0:57:27 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | lengths for Senate members. | 0:58:53 |
| 2 | I think that would lead to better |  |
| 3 | representation, because as you just said, Senator, if |  |
| 4 | we elect a white person from District 4 or we elect a |  |
| 5 | white person from District 14 -- from District 12 and |  |
| 6 | then we're more likely to elect a white person from |  |
| 7 | District 14, or we elect a white person from District |  |
| 8 | 11 in this area, and then we're most likely going to |  |
| 9 | elect a white person from District 15, we end up with a |  |
| 10 | potentially predominantly white board. | 0:59:23 |
| 11 | And given the distribution of the |  |
| 12 | demographics of our city when we're pairing districts |  |
| 13 | is inevitable, or creating ten districts without |  |
| 14 | pairings, it is inevitable that we'll get some |  |
| 15 | districts where there are different parts of the |  |
| 16 | districts that have very different demographics. | 0:59:44 |
| 17 | And to remedy that, a lottery setup would be |  |
|  | much more effective. Does that answer your question? |  |
| 19 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: It does. Thank |  |
| 20 | you very much. | 0:59:53 |
| 21 | MR. BROTTMAN: Thank you. |  |
| 22 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Thank you, Madame |  |
| 23 | Chair. |  |
| 24 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: You're welcome, Senator. |  |
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| 1 | Thank you, Mr. Brottman. | 0:59:59 |
| 2 | I will now move on -- well, let's see. Did |  |
| 3 | Brian Metcalf return? Brian? |  |
| 4 | MR. METCALF: Hi, Madame Chair. I'm going to |  |
| 5 | bypass my statement due for the sake of time now. | 1:00:13 |
| 6 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. Thanks, Brian. |  |
| 7 | MR. METCALF: Thank you, ma'am. |  |
| 8 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: The Illinois |  |
| 9 | African-Americans for Equitable Redistricting. There's |  |
| 10 | quite a few acts to speak here or called in to speak. | 1:00:28 |
| 11 | We can start with Valerie Leonard, followed |  |
| 12 | by Karl Brinson, Chicago West Side Branch of NAACP, |  |
| 13 | Dwayne Truss, Nicole Johnson -- | 1:00:38 |
| 14 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Pardon me. |  |
| 15 | Pardon me, Madame Chair. Eli, you're still sharing |  |
| 16 | your screen. I don't want you to be sharing personal |  |
| 17 | information, so I just want to give you a chance to -- |  |
| 18 | there we go. | 1:00:48 |
| 19 | MR. BROTTMAN: Thank you. |  |
| 20 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Sorry. |  |
| 21 | MR. BROTTMAN: My apologies. |  |
| 22 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: No worries. | 1:00:52 |
| 23 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you, Senator. |  |
| 24 | Nicole Johnson, Nicole Wheatley, and Natasha |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dunn. So we will begin with Ms. Valerie Leonard. | 1:01:04 |
| 2 | MS. LEONARD: Awesome. First of all, I want |  |
|  | to say thank you so much for the opportunity to |  |
|  | present. Thank you for serious engagement and |  |
| 5 | listening. We really, really, really appreciate that. | 1:01:17 |
| 6 | We want to say thank you to E4E for all of |  |
|  | their support. We have mutual goals and, you know, I |  |
| 8 | just wanted to let them know thank you for your |  |
| 9 | support. | 1:01:27 |
| 10 | I wanted to share my screen. Just one |  |
| 11 | moment. And let me move us out of the way so I can |  |
| 12 | see. All righty. So we're talking about mapping for |  |
| 13 | educational opportunity. | 1:01:55 |
| 14 | Our approach to this mapping process is not |  |
| 15 | to look at maps for a power grab, for representation |  |
| 16 | only, but to look at the mapping as part of the |  |
| 17 | infrastructure or the support for better educational |  |
| 18 | outcomes and more efficient use of government. | 1:02:18 |
| 19 | And our guiding question for that today, as I |  |
| 20 | understand, is how do we transition from the current |  |
| 21 | appointed board of education to a fully elected board |  |
| 22 | of education in 2026. | 1:02:34 |
| 23 | First of all, we think that the short answer |  |
| 24 | and the most immediate answer is to make sure that |  |
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|  | you're providing equal protection under the law at |  |
|  | every stage, right? | 1:02:47 |
| 3 | So the very first stage is to create a map |  |
|  | that protects voting rights of every Chicago voter. As |  |
|  | our Constitution lets us know, there's one man, one |  |
| 6 | vote. | 1:03:03 |
| 7 | We also know from the Constitution that |  |
| 8 | districts should be drawn such that every district is |  |
|  | approximately equal in population and that -- we |  |
| 10 | naturally drill down to the city level. | 1:03:16 |
| 11 | And our map provides opportunities for every |  |
| 12 | Chicagoan, regardless of race, regardless of how many |  |
| 13 | children you have, to elect candidates of choice. And |  |
| 14 | you can even do that with the ten-district map. And |  |
| 15 | not dilute minority votes. | 1:03:33 |
| 16 | We also believe under the equal protection |  |
| 17 | under the law convention that you can introduce a |  |
| 18 | trailer bill to the enabling legislation for the |  |
| 19 | elected representative board of education which |  |
| 20 | provides for this mapping process but some other |  |
| 21 | things, right? | 1:03:52 |
| 22 | But we want to make sure that you amend it to |  |
|  | create a standing committee to address black student |  |
| 24 | achievement. You already have such a provision for |  |
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|  | students who are non-citizens. | 1:04:05 |
| 2 | You want to make sure that they have the |  |
| 3 | right environment so that they can thrive, so that they |  |
|  | have the right tools, so that they can advance. You |  |
| 5 | know, that is part of the American dream, right? | 1:04:16 |
| 6 | And black children are part of the American |  |
|  | dream. But Langston Hughes asks us what happens to a |  |
|  | dream deferred, right? We want to make sure that their |  |
|  | dream is not deferred. | 1:04:29 |
| 10 | Particularly not -- not in cases where you |  |
| 11 | could protect our interests and you choose not to |  |
| 12 | protect our interests. When it's more important to |  |
| 13 | make sure that the outcomes for some people are |  |
| 14 | positive but not our people. | 1:04:51 |
| 15 | You know, that's hurtful. That is very, very |  |
| 16 | hurtful to me. I -- I take that personally. I -- I |  |
| 17 | can't speak for the others, but I take it personally. | 1:05:01 |
| 18 | There's no mechanism to make sure that |  |
| 19 | there's board level accountability for black children |  |
| 20 | ever. Right? CPS -- we tried to work with CPS to get |  |
| 21 | them to do such a committee at the board level with |  |
| 22 | board level accountability. | 1:05:17 |
| 23 | They said yes at first, and I guess they |  |
| 24 | realized how hard the work is, and they decided not to |  |
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|  | do it. Right? We can't depend on a fickle Chicago |  |
| 2 | Public Schools. Right? | 1:05:32 |
| 3 | We cannot depend on electoral whims. We can |  |
|  | depend, however, on you putting that language into |  |
|  | code, so that regardless of who's in charge, there's a |  |
|  | law that says that our black children have to have the |  |
|  | resources that they need to survive, right? | 1:05:55 |
| 8 | And there has to be board level |  |
|  | accountability to make sure that they're progressing |  |
| 10 | and not constantly left behind. So we're asking you |  |
| 11 | that you reject any mapping proposal that's based on |  |
| 12 | numbers of children in CPS. | 1:06:14 |
| 13 | You know, again, that's all within equal |  |
| 14 | protection under the law. The law says one man, one |  |
| 15 | vote. When you start putting parents and children and |  |
| 16 | school buildings into the equation, you know, that is |  |
| 17 | -- you know, that's a repres- -- bleh -- recipe for |  |
| 18 | disaster. | 1:06:36 |
| 19 | Some people would be overrepresented |  |
| 20 | according to their numbers and others would be |  |
| 21 | underrepresented. |  |
| 22 | And then finally, you know, if you can't add |  |
|  | language for the black student achievement committee, |  |
| 24 | which really would give them equal protection under the |  |
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|  | law, I wouldn't normally say this, but I'm -- I'm |  |
| 2 | looking at equality here. | 1:07:01 |
| 3 | If you can't give us that committee, then I'm |  |
|  | going to ask you respectfully to repeal the language |  |
|  | that creates a non-citizen advisory board. Our |  |
| 6 | Constitution provides for equal protection under the |  |
| 7 | law. | 1:07:18 |
| 8 | If non-citizens are being protected and |  |
|  | citizens are not being protected, that's a problem. |  |
| 10 | And I'm not going to stand for it. I can't speak for |  |
| 11 | them, but I'm not -- I'm -- I'm not going to stand for |  |
| 12 | it. | 1:07:31 |
| 13 | It -- it's just that simple. You know, I -- |  |
| 14 | I'm sick and tired of being sick and tired. And bear |  |
| 15 | with me. For some reason, my computer is stuck here. | 1:07:43 |
| 16 | And I'm trying to advance the slides. I'm |  |
| 17 | trying with this to -- to advance the slides, because |  |
|  | we're really here to talk about the map. But the law |  |
|  | has so much other stuff in addition to the map that I |  |
| 20 | -- I just can't ignore. | 1:08:00 |
| 21 | This chart here tells you the impact of using |  |
|  | the CPS population to draw elected school board maps. |  |
|  | As you know, there's a difference between the |  |
| 24 | population in the schools as well as the City in terms |  |
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|  | of percentages. | 1:08:18 |
| 2 | The law says one man, one vote. So legally |  |
|  | you should go by the City population. If we go by the |  |
| 4 | City population, we're looking at about a third white, |  |
|  | 29 percent black, 7 percent Asian, 1 percent Native |  |
| 6 | American, and 29 percent Latino, 2 percent other. | 1:08:43 |
| 7 | There is a movement afoot to draw maps that |  |
|  | reflect the -- the student population, right? And I |  |
|  | guess that reflects equity. If we were to draw the |  |
| 10 | maps to reflect CPS students, we would only have |  |
| 11 | representation to our maps, if you can, right, 11 |  |
| 12 | percent white, 35 percent black, 5 Asian, nothing for |  |
| 13 | Native Americans, 40 percent -- 47 percent Latino, and |  |
| 14 | 2 percent other. | 1:09:16 |
| 15 | But look at what happens when you do that. |  |
| 16 | You know, there's a differential, right, in terms of |  |
| 17 | what is legally possible and what people think is |  |
| 18 | aspirational. | 1:09:30 |
| 19 | But it has a serious impact on our ability to |  |
| 20 | really comply with the Constitution. White population |  |
| 21 | -- they would only be able to exercise about 33 percent |  |
| 22 | of their voting potential. | 1:09:44 |
| 23 | Blacks would exercise 120 percent. Asians |  |
| 24 | only 66 percent. Native Americans only 60 percent. |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Latinos 163 percent, and others 135 percent. | 1:10:03 |
| 2 | So there's a real opportunity for outsized |  |
|  | votes, and I'm -- outsized voting power, and I'm just |  |
|  | hoping that you don't draw a map that takes that into |  |
| 5 | account, because you really don't want to be in a |  |
|  | situation where your map is challenged, and we don't |  |
| 7 | have that kind of time. | 1:10:18 |
| 8 | So we're encouraging you to adopt the fairest |  |
|  | map of them all. And this is a ten-district map that's |  |
| 10 | based on City Council's 50 wards, right? | 1:10:32 |
| 11 | So we are nesting five wards each into ten |  |
| 12 | districts. And what we like about this is it |  |
| 13 | encourages collaboration between our city elected |  |
| 14 | officials and the board of elec- -- bleh -- not the |  |
| 15 | board of election day, elected school board officials. | 1:10:53 |
| 16 | So every one of these districts would |  |
| 17 | literally have seven elected officials who are |  |
| 18 | laser-focused on, you know, developing plans, bringing |  |
| 19 | resources to the schools in their districts. | 1:11:07 |
| 20 | And the way we cluster them, these are |  |
|  | natural -- this is the way people work anyway. Right? |  |
|  | These are natural clusters, racially, geographically. | 1:11:19 |
| 23 | We're not splitting up communities. We keep |  |
| 24 | communities together. We have created a situation |  |
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|  | where you have three districts that are majority black, |  |
|  | three that are majority white, three that are majority |  |
| 3 | Latino. | 1:11:34 |
| 4 | We also provide opportunities for influence |  |
| 5 | from the Asian community on the North and South Side. |  |
| 6 | Also opportunity for -- excuse me -- also we kept the |  |
| 7 | Jewish community together so that they can influence an |  |
| 8 | election. | 1:11:52 |
| 9 | So this is really we think a -- a win/win for |  |
| 10 | everybody. It also conforms to the existing |  |
| 11 | legislation, which says that you start off with ten |  |
| 12 | districts. | 1:12:05 |
| 13 | We are suggesting that you keep the ten |  |
| 14 | districts and keep the two representatives in each |  |
| 15 | districts and not even expand to the 20, but if you |  |
| 16 | must expand to the 20, we don't have that drawing, but |  |
| 17 | you would subdivide these districts and, you know, make |  |
| 18 | sure that the numbers are still keeping in -- in with |  |
| 19 | the law. | 1:12:28 |
| 20 | You know, the districts are compact, equal, |  |
| 21 | and everybody has one -- one vote per person. Keeping |  |
| 22 | communities together and that they're contiguous. | 1:12:42 |
| 23 | We also ask that you promote equity by |  |
| 24 | leveling the financial playing field. Right? So we |  |
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|  | support E4E's proposal to level the playing field by |  |
|  | taking the money out of the equation. | 1:12:56 |
| 3 | Or rather, taking it out where it shouldn't |  |
|  | go and putting it where it should. So we believe in |  |
|  | campaign finance so that money is not the sole thing |  |
| 6 | driving who can win. Right? | 1:13:12 |
| 7 | We want to make sure that people who don't |  |
|  | have, you know, deep pocket supporters have those -- |  |
|  | you know, have a good opportunity to win. We want to |  |
| 10 | make sure that parents have an opportunity. | 1:13:24 |
| 11 | People who are rank and file populace have an |  |
| 12 | opportunity. We don't want to leave our elections up |  |
| 13 | to people with multi billions of dollars to put people |  |
| 14 | in for their proxies. | 1:13:39 |
| 15 | So we're asking you to pass legislation to |  |
| 16 | allow board member compensation. We're also asking you |  |
| 17 | to develop a pipeline of well-qualified candidates for |  |
| 18 | office. | 1:13:52 |
| 19 | And we know that you have to be careful. We |  |
|  | want you to partner with non-profit groups, with the |  |
| 21 | board of education, and others to hold educational |  |
| 22 | hearings, let people know what's going on, help them |  |
|  | understand their civic responsibility, let the know |  |
| 24 | what an election -- what an elected school board does |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. Great, and then my |  |
| 2 | other question was, in an alignment with your -- how |  |
| 3 | would you subdivide the ten districts and -- and when |  |
| 4 | would you actually do it? | 1:15:45 |
| 5 | MS. LEONARD: Ideally -- okay. And I'll -- |  |
| 6 | I'll tell you, we did not do a map. But conceptually, |  |
| 7 | we would separate those districts such that the |  |
| 8 | population is equal. | 1:15:58 |
| 9 | And we would make sure that in so doing, that |  |
| 10 | we had -- that we maintain a balance racially and not |  |
| 11 | split up communities. We would do it according to the |  |
| 12 | law. | 1:16:10 |
| 13 | That would be pursuant to the 2026 election. |  |
| 14 | And I'm in agreement with Corrina. We would really |  |
|  | need to see how this works. You know, have some |  |
| 16 | committee of the legislature, maybe the -- even the |  |
| 17 | board of education's or some combination thereof, do an |  |
| 18 | evaluation of how this is working the first time. | 1:16:33 |
| 19 | Because the first -- the worst thing you |  |
| 20 | could do is build on top of chaos. So I think we |  |
| 21 | should make sure we got the kinks out of the system. | 1:16:41 |
| 22 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. Okay. I see |  |
| 23 | Senator Martwick has a hand up. I'm going to request |  |
| 24 | -- |  |
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| 1 | Giovanni, do you have a -- the map that we |  |
| 2 | could kind of look on and -- | 1:16:54 |
| 3 | MR. RANDAZZO: Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. And |  |
| 4 | I -- let me share this right now. |  |
| 5 | MS. LEONARD: Okay, thank you. Thank you. | 1:17:03 |
| 6 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: You're welcome. |  |
| 7 | MR. RANDAZZO: So you want to see the -- the |  |
| 8 | deviations -- |  |
| 9 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: I -- I do. Yeah. |  |
| 10 | Mm-hmm. I would like that, please. | 1:17:12 |
| 11 | MR. RANDAZZO: So I think the deviations, if |  |
| 12 | I have it correct, is one district's at 287,881. |  |
| 13 | That's the highest end. And the lowest end is 263,015 |  |
| 14 | people. So it's that -- it's the -- | 1:17:33 |
| 15 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Almost 25,000. |  |
| 16 | MR. RANDAZZO: About a 10 percent -- close to |  |
| 17 | a 10 percent deviation, yes, ma'am. |  |
| 18 | And -- and I think -- Valerie, this is the -- |  |
| 19 | I want to make sure this is the -- the -- I believe |  |
| 20 | this is -- | 1:17:44 |
| 21 | MS. LEONARD: That's correct. |  |
| 22 | MR. RANDAZZO: -- what you gave us before. I |  |
| 23 | just -- |  |
| 24 | MS. LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
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| 1 | MR. RANDAZZO: -- want to make sure it's -- |  |
| 2 | it's what we have up on -- | 1:17:48 |
| 3 | MS. LEONARD: That's correct. |  |
| 4 | MR. RANDAZZO: -- this side. I want to make |  |
| 5 | sure it's right. Okay. |  |
| 6 | MS. LEONARD: Yeah. Yeah, we're -- we're |  |
| 7 | looking at the same thing. | 1:17:54 |
| 8 | MR. RANDAZZO: Okay. |  |
| 9 | MS. LEONARD: All right. And bear -- bear |  |
| 10 | with me. My power is about to go out. I need to -- to |  |
| 11 | plug in my computer. | 1:18:06 |
| 12 | Okay. There's a question, though? A |  |
| 13 | question about the numbers? Question about boundaries? |  |
| 14 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: No, I asked you about |  |
| 15 | deviations. And you described the -- | 1:18:16 |
| 16 | MS. LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 17 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: -- ones you represent, so |  |
| 18 | I just wanted to take a deeper dive into that because |  |
| 19 | -- |  |
| 20 | MS . LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 21 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: -- the highest -- | 1:18:22 |
| 22 | MS. LEONARD: Yeah. |  |
| 23 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: -- end versus the lowest |  |
| 24 | end is about a 10 -- |  |
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| 1 | MS. LEONARD: Yeah. |  |
| 2 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: -- percent deviation. So |  |
| 3 | I just wanted to make sure I understood it properly -- | 1:18:28 |
| 4 | MS. LEONARD: Mm-hmm. Yeah, yeah. And -- |  |
| 5 | and when I spoke, I wasn't talking district-wide. I |  |
| 6 | was looking at, you know, the individual wards that |  |
| 7 | make up the districts. | 1:18:38 |
| 8 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. Okay, great. |  |
| 9 | Senator Martwick, you had a question, or did |  |
| 10 | you lower your hand? | 1:18:46 |
| 11 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: No, I just was -- |  |
| 12 | since you acknowledged me, I took it down so I didn't |  |
| 13 | forgot. But I do still have a question. I -- I always |  |
| 14 | leave my hand up. But thank you -- | 1:18:57 |
| 15 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: And then that way, I'll |  |
| 16 | forget about you. So you might want to keep your hand |  |
| 17 | up. |  |
| 18 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Ms. Leonard, |  |
| 19 | thank you for your -- your work and your thoughtfulness |  |
| 20 | on -- on all of this. I -- I had a couple of quick |  |
| 21 | questions for you, and I guess they're related. I'm -- |  |
| 22 | I'm -- | 1:19:14 |
| 23 | MS. LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 24 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- focusing more |  |
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| 1 | on -- |  |
| 2 | MS. LEONARD: Okay. |  |
| 3 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- the process |  |
| 4 | than on the maps. You had obviously -- I -- I like the |  |
| 5 | -- I mean, it's an interesting idea to cluster the |  |
| 6 | wards, because they're pre-drawn. | 1:19:26 |
| 7 | The question that I had is you -- you had |  |
| 8 | mentioned that you would prefer that we kept ten |  |
| 9 | districts and then had two -- |  |
| 10 | MS. LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 11 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- |  |
| 12 | representatives from each district running at large. | 1:19:41 |
| 13 | MS . LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 14 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: You also had |  |
| 15 | mentioned that we should pass some campaign finance |  |
| 16 | reform. So I guess what my -- my question is, and |  |
| 17 | forgive me if this is more complex, is that -- | 1:19:53 |
| 18 | MS. LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 19 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- electing |  |
| 20 | people at large from five wards, you would have |  |
| 21 | roughly, if my math is right, about 260 to 270,000 |  |
| 22 | people from -- per -- | 1:20:06 |
| 23 | MS. LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 24 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- ward or per |  |
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| 1 | district now -- |  |
| 2 | MS. LEONARD: Per district, mm-hmm. | 1:20:10 |
| 3 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- which would |  |
| 4 | make those campaigns much more expensive in terms of |  |
| 5 | delivering a message. |  |
| 6 | MS. LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 7 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: So how -- how |  |
| 8 | does that jive with spending less money on the |  |
| 9 | campaigns, number one, so -- | 1:20:24 |
| 10 | MS. LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 11 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- and -- and |  |
| 12 | number -- |  |
| 13 | MS. LEONARD: Right. |  |
| 14 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- two, do you |  |
| 15 | have a specific campaign finance reform proposal? Much |  |
| 16 | has been talked since the very beginnings of this, and |  |
| 17 | I agree that we should seek to rein in the influence of |  |
| 18 | outside money. | 1:20:42 |
| 19 | And so I was wondering if you had any |  |
| 20 | specific proposals as to how we might -- |  |
| 21 | MS . LEONARD: Mm-hmm. |  |
| 22 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- accomplish. | 1:20:49 |
| 23 | MS. LEONARD: All right. I don't have a |  |
| 24 | specific proposal, but I -- I think 50,000 sounds like |  |
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| 1 | a -- a pretty reasonable cap, you know, to make sure |  |
| 2 | that the election itself doesn't become this magnet for |  |
| 3 | big money. | 1:21:03 |
| 4 | And when you look at the numbers that you |  |
| 5 | just cited for the districts, those don't sound that |  |
| 6 | much different to me. I don't know if -- state Senate |  |
| 7 | number or state legislator -- ah -- state legislative |  |
| 8 | district number. | 1:21:18 |
| 9 | We still have a significant number of black |  |
| 10 | people, right? In fact, our numbers are -- were |  |
| 11 | overrepresented even with those relatively large |  |
| 12 | districts. So I -- I don't see where the larger |  |
| 13 | districts are going to keep us from being competitive. | 1:21:35 |
| 14 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Understood. |  |
| 15 | Thank you. Yeah, and so just for your reference, I |  |
| 16 | think we're about 218,000 or -- |  |
| 17 | MS. LEONARD: Okay. |  |
| 18 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- 216,000 as a |  |
| 19 | state Senate district. | 1:21:50 |
| 20 | MS. LEONARD: Oh, the Senate. Okay, awesome. |  |
| 21 | All right. So yeah, I don't think we're |  |
| 22 | underrepresented in the Senate, so I -- I really think |  |
| 23 | that this is doable. | 1:21:57 |
| 24 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Okay. Thank you |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | this map and this piece of -- of -- of trailer |  |
|  | legislation that we'd like to have with black student |  |
|  | achievement standing committee. | 1:24:11 |
| 4 | We just want to say we appreciate and -- |  |
|  | hopefully that you guys work hard and fast with what |  |
|  | we're -- what we are offering up and that we support it |  |
|  | wholeheartedly, not just only locally but also through |  |
|  | the whole state, that there must be a standard solely |  |
|  | behind this particular piece of legislation that |  |
| 10 | supports this map, and also that stands in support of |  |
| 11 | black standing achievement -- standing committee for -- |  |
| 12 | for students, black students. | 1:24:35 |
| 13 | So that's what we just standing here to say |  |
| 14 | we're here in total support of this and hope that you |  |
| 15 | guys would accept what we're proposing and -- and |  |
| 16 | accept it as accordingly as we're accepting it. | 1:24:45 |
| 17 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: All right. Okay. Good. |  |
| 18 | Thanks, Karl. Appreciate your testimony and the work |  |
| 19 | of the NAACP as well. | 1:24:54 |
| 20 | I don't see any hands, so thank you. I'll go |  |
| 21 | on to Dwayne, Dwayne Truss. |  |
| 22 | MR. TRUSS: Good evening, committee -- |  |
| 23 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Hi. |  |
| 24 | MR. TRUSS: -- oop. Sorry there. I was |  |
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| 1 | trying to -- camera. Okay. Good evening, committee. |  |
| 2 | Thank you for the opportunity to testify tonight. | 1:25:15 |
| 3 | Thank you for the time that you spent on it, |  |
| 4 | and also thank you for the careful consideration that |  |
| 5 | you -- you -- that you took well from our requests |  |
| 6 | about adding additional time to really think through |  |
| 7 | and work through this process, so we thank you all |  |
| 8 | sincerely for that. | 1:25:28 |
| 9 | We know that it's taking time away from you |  |
| 10 | all and your family, your duties, which -- to your |  |
| 11 | constituents. So I just want to just echo that. | 1:25:36 |
| 12 | Off the bat, obviously I support the map |  |
| 13 | that's presented by IAAFER. We believe that it |  |
| 14 | definitely can give us, you know, whatever we need. | 1:25:45 |
| 15 | I'm going to center my comments on the |  |
| 16 | committee for black student achievement. Because you |  |
| 17 | know, and -- and I -- and I do support a voice from our |  |
| 18 | citizens. | 1:25:58 |
| 19 | And you know, and -- and when it comes to our |  |
|  | children and their education. Right? When you look at |  |
|  | the law, it really specify some real serious, you know, |  |
| 22 | actions that's going to be taken to make sure they have |  |
| 23 | a seat at the table. | 1:26:12 |
| 24 | But I think many of you know the unfortunate |  |
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|  | history of CPS is that there's been a lot of trauma and |  |
|  | drama done to black families, especially black |  |
|  | children. Whether it be the -- the demolition of |  |
|  | public housing, the lack of affordable housing, as well |  |
|  | as school closings. | 1:26:28 |
| 6 | Like, for instance, I'm going to be losing a |  |
|  | granddaughter to the State of Iowa because |  |
|  | unfortunately, that's where her mother can get |  |
|  | subsidized housing, affordable housing. Because it's |  |
| 10 | -- it's really tough for a lot of our parents in the |  |
| 11 | City of Chicago. | 1:26:44 |
| 12 | When it comes to the committee for black |  |
| 13 | student achievement also, it's that CPS has what they |  |
| 14 | call community action councils. These are ad hoc |  |
| 15 | committees organized to go ahead and -- and -- and set |  |
| 16 | educational policy in particular neighborhoods and |  |
| 17 | regions. | 1:27:00 |
| 18 | Many of them have completed and presented |  |
|  | plans to various CPS leadership and it's almost like |  |
| 20 | you're wasting your time and -- and you're really |  |
| 21 | creating a digital paperweight versus something |  |
| 22 | substantial. | 1:27:15 |
| 23 | We -- you know, we -- when $I$ was on the board |  |
| 24 | of education -- Chicago Board of Education, we didn't |  |
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|  | have a -- a particular committee and because, you know, |  |
|  | we kind of, like, okay, well, it's the CEO, it's up to |  |
|  | that person. | 1:27:32 |
| 4 | So fine. So you're going to hear from |  |
|  | someone else who actually had that -- that experience |  |
|  | firsthand. So once you all can say, well, that sounds |  |
|  | like a good idea, let's get some parents together, |  |
|  | community stakeholders, and come up with a strategy and |  |
| 9 | plan. | 1:27:44 |
| 10 | You can create a strategy and plan. Then you |  |
| 11 | get another superintendent. Then that person may say, |  |
| 12 | well, you know, I want to do something different. | 1:27:51 |
| 13 | Creating a committee and state law -- and we |  |
| 14 | know the advisory would give some type of consistency |  |
| 15 | to black families. And that's the most important thing |  |
| 16 | is -- it's to set up a plan that stakeholders can be |  |
| 17 | involved in developing, and that plan can be the plan. | 1:28:10 |
| 18 | Just like corporations. They develop |  |
|  | corporate plans, right, or -- or business plans. And |  |
|  | no matter who that $C E O$ of that corporation is, the plan |  |
| 21 | is the plan. | 1:28:21 |
| 22 | And that's what we're looking for with this |  |
|  | committee for black student achievement being codified |  |
| 24 | in state law. I do support compensation for board |  |
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| 1 | members. | 1:28:29 |
| 2 | Again, I served on the Chicago Board of |  |
| 3 | Education. And at some point where we had to treat |  |
| 4 | each other to lunch. Because there was not money in |  |
| 5 | the budget. | 1:28:39 |
| 6 | But fortunately, you know, all of us are |  |
| 7 | working, and we sacrificed a lot of time. And you |  |
| 8 | really have to sacrifice that time and -- and -- and |  |
| 9 | get people who make great board members, just don't |  |
| 10 | have that -- that -- that work/life balance where a job |  |
| 11 | will allow them to do that and -- and pay them. | 1:29:01 |
| 12 | And -- and you really are asking a lot of |  |
| 13 | people to make a significant sacrifice, so we |  |
| 14 | definitely should have some type of compensation for |  |
| 15 | board members. And I do support campaign finance |  |
| 16 | reform. | 1:29:10 |
| 17 | There should be a cap. To be realistic, I'm |  |
| 18 | not sure if, you know, state law or federal law would |  |
| 19 | even allow that. Let's -- let's be realistic. | 1:29:20 |
| 20 | And the last piece is -- is again, getting |  |
|  | back to my comment about the trauma and drama black |  |
|  | students have gone through. Again, you know, you can |  |
| 23 | look at the student population now. | 1:29:28 |
| 24 | It's -- it's -- it's based on certain |  |
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|  | population. But what if I wanted to say, hey, let's |  |
|  | make a -- let's -- a map based on historical student |  |
|  | population, right? | 1:29:37 |
| 4 | Now you're either getting the majority black |  |
|  | students being served by the district over the years |  |
|  | versus if we just took a snapshot of right now. |  |
| 7 | So for me, and plus within compliance of the |  |
| 8 | law, the fairest way we would go about is to go and |  |
|  | create maps based on City population that we already |  |
| 10 | have. | 1:29:55 |
| 11 | Because there's going to be a lot of nuances |  |
|  | as to why there's X number of students being served, |  |
| 13 | you know, in the system without, you know, going in -- |  |
| 14 | in history, but if we're going to just say if you're |  |
| 15 | going to create a map that's going to serve the student |  |
| 16 | population, then we may just say what's the average or |  |
| 17 | the race of the student population served for the last |  |
| 18 | ten years, 20 years. | 1:30:16 |
| 19 | To be able to actively reflect the population |  |
| 20 | that -- that can be served and potentially be served in |  |
|  | the future. So I ask you to disregard any type of map |  |
|  | that would have school board boundaries based on that, |  |
|  | and that concludes my comments, and then I -- again, I |  |
| 24 | thank you -- oh, last thing real quick. | 1:30:34 |
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| 1 | For the committee for black student |  |
| 2 | achievement, any persons should be recommended by local |  |
| 3 | school council and can't -- should not be appointed by |  |
| 4 | the mayor so we can minimize -- the best way we can to |  |
| 5 | minimize politics if such committee is created. And |  |
| 6 | thank you again for the opportunity to testify. | 1:30:54 |
| 7 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: You're welcome. My |  |
| 8 | pleasure. Good seeing you, Dwayne. |  |
| 9 | May I have Nicole Johnson next, please? | 1:31:01 |
| 10 | MS. JOHNSON: Good evening, everybody. |  |
| 11 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Hi, Nicole. |  |
| 12 | MS. JOHNSON: All right. So tonight we're |  |
| 13 | here to ensure that there is equity as we approach the |  |
| 14 | seventieth anniversary of the Brown v. Board of |  |
| 15 | Education landmark legislation. | 1:31:23 |
| 16 | I want to be mindful, I want us to be mindful |  |
| 17 | of the impact of that. This law articulated that the |  |
| 18 | separate education environment for black and white |  |
| 19 | students was unequal. | 1:31:35 |
| 20 | It mandated integration so that black |  |
| 21 | students could access more well-resourced schools. The |  |
| 22 | intention was that black students would be allowed |  |
| 23 | better opportunities to excel. | 1:31:46 |
| 24 | Fast forward to recent years. In 2021, black |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | might ask what are CPS's existing plans. CEO Martinez |  |
| 2 | will tell you that they -- at the most recent board |  |
|  | member meeting earlier this week -- this month, he |  |
|  | presented a strategic plan that includes a priority for |  |
| 5 | black student achievement. | 1:33:29 |
| 6 | While we appreciate this designation, the |  |
|  | thing about plans is that they change. And as |  |
|  | mentioned, historically, the only way black people have |  |
|  | had their rights protected is by the law and the |  |
| 10 | Constitution. | 1:33:46 |
| 11 | As a CPS graduate who grew up in Englewood |  |
| 12 | and have earned advanced degrees from Ivy League |  |
| 13 | institutions, I am saddened that I am always the |  |
| 14 | exception. This must be codified into law. | 1:33:58 |
| 15 | Thurgood Marshall, this country's first black |  |
| 16 | Supreme Court justice, would have it no other way. |  |
| 17 | With an emphasis on black student achievement, every |  |
| 18 | board meeting would require a report out on how we are |  |
| 19 | offering our black students an equitable and quality |  |
| 20 | education that is unique to historic disenfranchisement |  |
|  | and present day disenfranchisement that black folks |  |
| 22 | have faced since 1619. Thank you. | 1:34:23 |
| 23 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you for your |  |
| 24 | comments and that historical perspective, Nicole. |  |
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|  | Thank you. |  |
| 2 | Nicole Wheatley? Nicole Wheatley? Okay. |  |
|  | Then Natasha Dunn. Ms. Dunn? | 1:34:47 |
| 4 | MS. DUNN: Hi. How are you? |  |
| 5 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: I'm -- |  |
| 6 | MS. DUNN: Can you hear me? |  |
| 7 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Mm-hmm. Yes. Please -- | 1:34:51 |
| 8 | MS. DUNN: Yeah. |  |
| 9 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: -- proceed. |  |
| 10 | MS. DUNN: Yeah. So my name is Natasha Dunn, |  |
| 11 and I'm with a -- a black community collaborative, but |  |  |
| 12 | I'm also one of the leaders of IAAFER. | 1:35:02 |
| 13 | I met Valerie in 2012 when I was the |  |
| 14 | president of the Black Star Community PTA. At the |  |
| 15 | time, I was organizing parents across the City of |  |
| 16 | Chicago. | 1:35:13 |
| 17 | Because originally, the board of education |  |
| 18 | proposed closing 120 schools. Then it went down to 90 |  |
|  | and ultimately it was 50. At the time, and still am -- |  |
| 20 | I'm a parent as well. | 1:35:24 |
| 21 | At the time, I had just recently before the |  |
| 22 | school closures had happened, pulled my twin sons out |  |
|  | of Chicago Public Schools. They were in third grade. |  |
| 24 | And I pulled them out because I recognized that they |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | have a standing committee codified into law to address |  |
| 2 | this black student achievement gap. | 1:36:54 |
| 3 | Because as a parent of a CPS high school |  |
| 4 | graduates and now I currently have a student in CPS, |  |
| 5 | one of the things that I noticed is that had I left my |  |
| 6 | sons in CPS during their elementary years, they would |  |
| 7 | not have gotten into Jones College Prep High School or |  |
| 8 | King College Prep. | 1:37:11 |
| 9 | They would not have gotten into it, because |  |
| 10 | again, their teachers were okay with them being a solid |  |
| 11 | average second grader and a -- and a below average |  |
| 12 | second grader. And I know the black students. | 1:37:21 |
| 13 | I know that their potential is great. But |  |
| 14 | unfortunately, their lights are being dimmed within the |  |
| 15 | system. And so we need people at the table who |  |
| 16 | understand not only the potential of black students, |  |
| 17 | they also who understand the nuances of the black |  |
|  | community, who understands the historic harm that has |  |
|  | been done perpetually over decades to our community. | $1: 37: 41$ |
| 20 | Because at one point, CPS was predominantly |  |
|  | black. We had a 65 percent black student ratio, and |  |
|  | now we're at 36 percent. Year after year, our children |  |
|  | are being pushed out of the system. | 1:37:52 |
| 24 | Pushed. Really forced out of the system. |  |



|  |  | Page 87 |
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| 1 | In 2020, my group spearheaded an effort to |  |
|  | push Chicago Public Schools to create a black student |  |
|  | achievement taskforce. We were successful. Dr. |  |
|  | Jackson was like, yes, I'm so glad you guys came in |  |
|  | with this, I agree, black students are struggling, |  |
|  | there's nothing in the system to support them, and I'm |  |
|  | -- and he welcomed myself and my team into the school |  |
|  | to work hand in hand with Dr. -- with the -- with the |  |
|  | -- the -- the executive of the equity department, Dr. |  |
| 10 | Sweeney. | 1:39:28 |
| 11 | And we worked for a year and a half, laying |  |
|  | the groundwork and the foundation for what we now call |  |
| 13 | black student success. We drew the -- the blueprint |  |
| 14 | for that success. | 1:39:38 |
| 15 | And unfortunately, when Dr. Jackson stepped |  |
| 16 | down, the work had to go by the wayside, because then |  |
| 17 | there was a new CEO that came into office. So when he |  |
|  | became the -- when Martinez became the CEO, I met with |  |
|  | him in the beginning of his term, and he said okay, but |  |
| 20 | it never happened. | 1:39:55 |
| 21 | And so now, here we are, almost two years |  |
|  | later, Chicago Public Schools, and I'm -- and I'm happy |  |
|  | that, you know, they are finally picking up the work |  |
| 24 | that we spearheaded, and saying that they're going to |  |
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|  | what we need. Thank you. | 1:41:14 |
| 2 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you, Natasha. |  |
| 3 | Okay. We have a couple more individuals |  |
| 4 | seeking to speak before we move on from the Illinois |  |
|  | African-Americans for Equitable Redistricting. Are |  |
|  | there any other questions of Ms. Leonard or any of the |  |
|  | other speakers? | 1:41:37 |
| 8 | Okay. I do not see any hands raised. Thank |  |
|  | you all. So I will now move on to Marc Kaplan with |  |
| 10 | North Side Action for Justice. | 1:41:51 |
| 11 | MR. KAPLAN: Thank you very much, Majority |  |
| 12 | Leader Lightford, and I want to thank the Senate |  |
| 13 | Committee for taking this time. I'd like to say hello |  |
|  | to my -- friend Senator Robert Martwick, who we worked |  |
| 15 | together for a long time on getting this elected |  |
| 16 | representative school board bill passed. | 1:42:14 |
| 17 | And you know, it was a long and arduous |  |
| 18 | struggle that -- our -- our organization was part of |  |
|  | the coalition that -- that initiated and then fought |  |
| 20 | for ten years really to -- to get the bill passed. | $1: 42: 31$ |
| 21 | So I -- first I'd like to say that it's our |  |
|  | hope that we move as quickly as possible to a fully |  |
|  | elected representative school board. And in that |  |
| 24 | sense, we're in favor of the current timeline moving |  |
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|  | from the -- the ten elected, ten appointed districts to |  |
|  | a fully elected 20-district by the -- the timeline as |  |
| 3 | it's laid out. | 1:43:06 |
| 4 | There's no reason why Chicago should not, |  |
| 5 | like every other district in the State of Illinois, |  |
| 6 | have a fully elected school board as soon as possible. |  |
|  | The -- the drawbacks of the larger districts or |  |
| 8 | ten-district election is that the larger the district |  |
|  | is, the more money you need to run. | 1:43:31 |
| 10 | I'm sure that you as elected officials |  |
| 11 | certainly know that. You're senators. You have a |  |
| 12 | larger district. You need to spend more money than |  |
| 13 | state reps. | 1:43:42 |
| 14 | Similarly, you know, city council people, at |  |
| 15 | least in theory, because it's smaller districts, should |  |
| 16 | be spend -- need to spend less. So all of this or all |  |
| 17 | of our thinking has to do with how do we do what we |  |
| 18 | initiated this campaign to do. Right? | 1:44:05 |
| 19 | Which is to really allow the residents of the |  |
| 20 | City of Chicago and the parents of public school |  |
| 21 | children to have as much of a voice as possible. So |  |
|  | first I'd like to -- I wanted to speak towards that. | 1:44:22 |
| 23 | We do support the -- the ERSB community |  |
| 24 | coalition map. That's the map that we support. But I |  |
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|  | think that the main point I want to make in my |  |
| 2 | presentation is let's get as quickly as possible to 20 |  |
| 3 | elected representative school districts. That's the |  |
| 4 | first thing. | 1:44:44 |
| 5 | The second thing is other things in the bill |  |
|  | that either consciously or unconsciously disenfranchise |  |
|  | working low-income, particularly parents, from being on |  |
|  | the board. The first of which is that without any |  |
|  | compensation, the parent -- either parents can't do it |  |
| 10 | or parents do it and they're being funded by outside |  |
| 11 | special interest groups. | 1:45:12 |
| 12 | Because there's no way -- I've been -- I've |  |
| 13 | been on local school council since the mid 1990s. And |  |
| 14 | I know the time that it takes to really dedicate to the |  |
| 15 | economics and the programs in just one school. | 1:45:28 |
| 16 | I can't imagine how you would have the time |  |
| 17 | to be on a -- a -- on the school board for the whole |  |
| 18 | City. And you either have to be independently wealthy, |  |
|  | have to be bought in one way or another by outside |  |
| 20 | interests, or you win the lottery. | 1:45:52 |
| 21 | You're one of those three things. So I -- we |  |
|  | and I fully support -- we fully support having |  |
|  | compensation and -- that you would have as a full-time |  |
| 24 | job to allow parents and, you know, lower income |  |
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| 1 | for an organization that gets a contract with the |  |
| 2 | board, would not be allowed to run, I think in some |  |
| 3 | ways would disallow some of the people who could best |  |
| 4 | serve because they have the best knowledge of what |  |
| 5 | actually is happening inside the schools to serve. | 1:48:02 |
| 6 | So of course there are -- you know, I think |  |
| 7 | we have to be as the -- the law is, you know, a little |  |
| 8 | bit or -- or very cautious, right, or pay a lot of |  |
| 9 | attention to the -- the potential conflict of interest. | 1:48:21 |
| 10 | But I think there are other ways to do that |  |
| 11 | besides barring people who have any kind of |  |
| 12 | relationship, financial relationship, to CPS from |  |
| 13 | running. So those -- those are my main comments, and |  |
| 14 | if anyone has questions, I'd be more than happy to |  |
| 15 | answer. | 1:48:38 |
| 16 | Once again, we thank you all for taking this |  |
| 17 | kind of time and spending the kind of -- you know, the |  |
| 18 | hours that really are necessary to culminate the |  |
| 19 | process that has been ongoing since 2011 of 2012. |  |
| 20 | Thank you. | 1:48:55 |
| 21 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: You're welcome. Thank |  |
| 22 | you. |  |
| 23 | There is a hand for questioning. Senator |  |
| 24 | Martwick? Remove your mic. Unmute, Senator. | 1:49:17 |
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| 1 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Yeah. Thank you. |  |
| 2 | Clicking all my buttons. Forgot which one to click. |  |
| 3 | Thank you, Madame Chair. | 1:49:25 |
| 4 | And so Marc, it's good to see you. Thank you |  |
| 5 | for appearing before us here today and thank you for |  |
| 6 | your comments. So I remember when we drew up the |  |
| 7 | conflict of interest provisions on this bill, we were |  |
| 8 | trying to -- you know, we were trying to do that, |  |
| 9 | prevent against conflicts of interest. | 1:49:45 |
| 10 | But you -- you -- I guess you -- you know, |  |
| 11 | like anything else, you think in the general sense, not |  |
| 12 | the specific. So you were talking about contracts that |  |
| 13 | parent mentors have with the school board that would |  |
| 14 | prevent them from seeking office. Could you explain in |  |
| 15 | just a little bit more detail? | 1:50:01 |
| 16 | MR. KAPLAN: Yes. So I'm in a number of |  |
| 17 | organizations in -- in the City of Chicago, right? |  |
| 18 | Grassroots community-based organizations that have |  |
| 19 | contracts with CPS to have a path to have parents |  |
| 20 | become more and more -- more involved in the schools. | 1:50:20 |
| 21 | So these parents serve -- they're -- they're |  |
| 22 | there every day -- well, there's different programs and |  |
|  | different -- different organizations. But they're in |  |
| 24 | the school generally almost every day. | 1:50:34 |
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| 1 | something to look at, so I appreciate you raising it. |  |
| 2 | That's the first that's really been raised for us and |  |
| 3 | it's something for us to really think about. | 1:52:00 |
| 4 | And -- and I -- I -- I do want to just say |  |
| 5 | thank you to the rest of the people who are listening |  |
| 6 | in today. It was Marc and a dedicated group that for |  |
| 7 | more than a decade really started the fight for this |  |
| 8 | and led to the moment where we can have these |  |
| 9 | discussions. | 1:52:17 |
| 10 | So Marc, thanks for everything that you've |  |
| 11 | done through -- throughout, you know, pushing -- |  |
| 12 | pushing and building grassroots to get to this point. |  |
| 13 | So thank you for your testimony and your comments |  |
| 14 | today. Thank -- | 1:52:29 |
| 15 | MR. KAPLAN: Thank -- |  |
| 16 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: -- you. |  |
| 17 | MR. KAPLAN: -- you. I -- I just want to |  |
| 18 | recognize Valerie Leonard and Dwayne Truss and our |  |
|  | strong allies on the West Side were -- were part of the |  |
| 20 | struggle for all those years also. | 1:52:39 |
| 21 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: Absolutely. |  |
| 22 | MR. KAPLAN: And I think that, you know, we |  |
|  | -- yeah. I -- it's great to see all of us continuing |  |
| 24 | to the finish -- so to speak, to the finish line. | 1:52:50 |
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| 1 | And as we all know, once we hit the finish |  |
| 2 | line, there'll be more work to do. So we -- we -- we |  |
| 3 | appreciate everyone here and all the time you're |  |
| 4 | taking. Thank you. | 1:52:57 |
| 5 | VICE CHAIRPERSON MARTWICK: It never ends. |  |
| 6 | Thank you again. |  |
| 7 | Thank you, Madame Chair. | 1:53:00 |
| 8 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: You're welcome. |  |
| 9 | Absolutely. |  |
| 10 | The line continues to move, Marc, so stay -- |  |
| 11 | stay true to the end. | 1:53:06 |
| 12 | And Jessica Handy, Stand for Children? |  |
| 13 | Jessica, you are our last and final speaker for |  |
| 14 | tonight. |  |
| 15 | MS. HANDY: All right. All -- |  |
| 16 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: All right. | 1:53:17 |
| 17 | MS. HANDY: -- right. Well, thank you for -- |  |
| 18 | for sticking around to hear my comments then. And |  |
| 19 | thank you for giving so many opportunities for us to |  |
| 20 | comment. I -- I appreciate the -- the amount of time |  |
| 21 | that you guys have spent to get this right. | 1:53:31 |
| 22 | I'm Jessica Handy. I'm with Stand for |  |
| 23 | Children. And I want to speak about three issues today |  |
| 24 | are related to the transition. | 1:53:38 |
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| 1 | The first is compensation of school board |  |
| 2 | members. The second is race choice voting. And the |  |
| 3 | third is -- is adding an additional reporting element |  |
| 4 | around the transition. | 1:53:49 |
| 5 | So regarding compensation, you can add Stand |  |
| 6 | for Children to the many voices you've heard tonight |  |
| 7 | calling for compensating school board members as one |  |
| 8 | tool to enable everyday parents and community members |  |
| 9 | to devote their time to serving on the board. | 1:54:04 |
| 10 | A National Association of School Boards |  |
| 11 | survey found that 77 percent of school board members |  |
| 12 | spend between seven and four -- seven and 40 hours per |  |
| 13 | month on school board work. So about ten -- two to ten |  |
| 14 | hours per week. | 1:54:21 |
| 15 | And in Chicago, the commute's going to take |  |
| 16 | up that whole two hours. So they spend -- they spend a |  |
| 17 | decent amount of -- of time. | 1:54:27 |
| 18 | And that's a lot for somebody who is already |  |
|  | on a tight budget, sacrificing time away from work and |  |
| 20 | their family to perform this -- this important service. |  |
|  | So the other thing we've been thinking about is, as we |  |
| 22 | think about compensation, we want to think about what |  |
| 23 | the role of a school board should be. | 1:54:46 |
| 24 | So effective school boards are deeply engaged |  |
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|  | and committed to setting the vision and policies of a |  |
|  | district, to selecting a superintendent to carry out |  |
|  | those goals, to adopting a balanced budget and raising |  |
|  | the requisite tax levies to support that. | 1:55:02 |
| 5 | School board members engage communities, but |  |
|  | effective school boards do not typically get involved |  |
|  | in day-to-day operations of districts. They treat |  |
|  | teachers and leaders like the professionals they are |  |
|  | and entrust them to do their job, working toward the |  |
| 10 | goals the board has set. | 1:55:17 |
| 11 | So we started an informal survey about these |  |
| 12 | implementation issues. We haven't closed it out yet. |  |
| 13 | Still on our website if people want to take it. | 1:55:25 |
| 14 | But based on the results we've seen so far, |  |
|  | about two-thirds of voters think the school board |  |
| 16 | should be really sticking to those big picture |  |
| 17 | responsibilities. 1 percent think the job should get |  |
| 18 | involved in day-to-day issues, and about a third think |  |
| 19 | it should be somewhere in between. | 1:55:41 |
| 20 | So I -- I guess what we're suggesting is that |  |
|  | enabling the board to approve a stipend for itself |  |
| 22 | would -- it -- it is -- is important. We think it |  |
| 23 | should also reflect this expectation that it's not a |  |
| 24 | full-time job, but it's a sizeable part-time job, and |  |
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| 1 | members should be compensated for their time and |  |
| 2 | service. | 1:56:03 |
| 3 | The National Association of School Boards |  |
| 4 | survey found that 62 percent of members who took their |  |
| 5 | survey were unpaid. But paying board members is -- is |  |
| 6 | not uncommon for large districts. | 1:56:16 |
| 7 | Los Angeles is by far the outlier, paying |  |
| 8 | their board members \$125,000. California's law is set |  |
| 9 | up to vary salary caps based on district size. | 1:56:27 |
| 10 | That's an approach we might consider here. |  |
| 11 | So like San Diego's board makes 18,000 per year. |  |
| 12 | Fresno's has -- earns 23,000 per year. | 1:56:36 |
| 13 | Board members across Florida earn 42,000 per |  |
| 14 | year. And most of them are -- you know, several of |  |
| 15 | them are around that range. 32,000, 48,000, 19, 17. |  |
| 16 | In Clark County, Nevada, they get a \$9,000 stipend. | 1:56:51 |
| 17 | So -- so that would be our suggestion around |  |
| 18 | compensation. Is just think about like what a |  |
| 19 | reasonable compensation package would -- would look |  |
| 20 | like or reasonable stipend for these members to do |  |
| 21 | important part-time work. | 1:57:02 |
| 22 | The -- the next issue is we -- the -- the law |  |
| 23 | does not include a primary process. So for the 2024 |  |
| 24 | election -- I -- I mean, and it would be very difficult |  |
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|  | to add one now, because the petition process is already |  |
|  | underway for the March '24 primary, so adding another |  |
|  | election just for this would be expensive and it would |  |
|  | negate the value of holding the election at a high |  |
|  | turnout time, which is a really -- a really good |  |
|  | practice that you guys did in adopting the November |  |
|  | general election as the time for this -- for this board |  |
| 8 | election. | 1:57:39 |
| 9 | So we -- we think you might consider holding |  |
| 10 | a ranked choice ballot for the 2024 race. Because |  |
| 11 | there's no primary, there are possibilities that you |  |
| 12 | have multiple candidates that sort of cannibalize each |  |
| 13 | other's votes and lead to a more extreme candidate |  |
| 14 | getting in. | 1:58:01 |
| 15 | And -- and then in 2026, you might consider |  |
| 16 | whether a primary would be an appropriate addition to |  |
| 17 | this election cycle. And then the final thing I would |  |
| 18 | say is you've -- you've -- you had a lot of different |  |
| 19 | sorts of reporting requirements in your initial bill. | 1:58:19 |
| 20 | We had the financial entanglement report and |  |
|  | then the ISBE did a report following that. I would |  |
|  | just ask that maybe we continue with that level of |  |
| 23 | transparency. | 1:58:28 |
| 24 | Maybe about a year after the board is seated, |  |


|  |  | Page 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | have another report that looks at the status of |  |
|  | financial disentanglements, the impact on the |  |
|  | district's fiscal health, the costs of the transition, |  |
|  | the hours of staff time devoted to board support, |  |
|  | participation in the elections, impact on school |  |
|  | culture surveys, and then -- and then maybe a final |  |
|  | report a -- a year after the full -- full 21-member |  |
| 8 | board is -- is elected. | 1:58:55 |
| 9 | And that could include additional metrics |  |
| 10 | around outcomes, which I think we would be able to |  |
| 11 | maybe see some movement on after three years. So thank |  |
| 12 | you so much for listening and considering reasonable |  |
| 13 | compensation, ranked choice voting, and additional |  |
| 14 | reporting. | 1:59:09 |
| 15 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Thank you. Jess, I've |  |
| 16 | got a question. Just want to know, do you feel then |  |
| 17 | that all school districts across the state should be |  |
| 18 | allowed to receive compensation? | 1:59:23 |
| 19 | MS. HANDY: So that is -- that is one of the |  |
| 20 | questions on our survey. Because that's been something |  |
|  | that I've been thinking about for a while, is, you |  |
|  | know, if -- if Chicago's going to be allowed to -- to |  |
|  | do this, shouldn't other school boards do this. | 1:59:37 |
| 24 | It -- I think it would be good for equity |  |
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| 1 | across the state. I think there's also an argument to |  |
| 2 | be made that in such a large school district, school |  |
| 3 | board members do have a considerable workload, perhaps |  |
| 4 | more than smaller districts. | 1:59:50 |
| 5 | So having some sort of variance in board |  |
| 6 | compensation, based on the size of the district, I |  |
| 7 | think makes sense. I -- I will say the -- the initial |  |
| 8 | -- the initial survey results that we're seeing for |  |
| 9 | Chicago folks is pretty similar to what Kids First is |  |
| 10 | finding, that -- that a majority of -- of Chicago |  |
| 11 | voters support allowing the board to compensate itself |  |
| 12 | with a stipend. | 2:00:18 |
| 13 | Outside of Chicago, it's more mixed. Support |  |
| 14 | for that is more -- is more mixed. So I think it's a |  |
| 15 | -- a concept that could be socialized more outside of |  |
| 16 | Chicago. But I do think it would enhance equity |  |
| 17 | everywhere. | 2:00:29 |
| 18 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: Okay. Okay, great. |  |
| 19 | Well, thank you, Jessica -- |  |
| 20 | MS. HANDY: Thank you. |  |
| 21 | SENATOR LIGHTFORD: -- Handy from Stand for |  |
| 22 | Children. | 2:00:37 |
|  | This wraps us up tonight. You know I want to |  |
| 24 | thank all of you again for joining us and, you know, I |  |
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| 1 | found this to be a very productive conversation, a -- a |  |
| 2 | conversation that can help guide us as we move forward. | 2:00:53 |
| 3 | Our commitment is the same. We are very |  |
| 4 | dedicated to getting this right. And that's why |  |
| 5 | gathering robust public input is so very important to |  |
| 6 | this process. | 2:01:05 |
| 7 | Our next steps will be to examine all of the |  |
| 8 | testimonies and proposals that were discussed here and |  |
| 9 | let that guide us as we move forward. And just a |  |
| 10 | reminder again that you -- we still have opportunities |  |
| 11 | to receive input at our $24 / 7$ website, |  |
| 12 | ilsenateredistricting.com, or via email at |  |
| 13 | ChicagoERSBC, all caps, complete spelling out |  |
| 14 | Committee, at senatedem.ilga.gov. | 2:01:42 |
| 15 | So thank you all again. And I do not see any |  |
| 16 | hands up, so I would say there being no further |  |
| 17 | business to come before the Senate Special Committee on |  |
| 18 | the Chicago Elected Representatives School Board, we do |  |
| 19 | stand adjourned. Thank you so much and have a |  |
| 20 | excellent night. | 2:02:02 |
| 21 | THE RECORDER: Going off the record, 7:15 |  |
| 22 | p.m. |  |
| 23 | (Off the record) |  |
| 24 |  |  |
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